

Final Minutes
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)
Executive Committee
Meeting No. 205 – May 13, 2016
Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY

Members and Alternates

in Attendance:

Curt Dahl, P.E.	PSEGLI – Member – Chair
Peter Altenberger	National Grid, USA – Alternate
George Loehr	Unaffiliated Member
William H. Clagett	Unaffiliated Member - Phone
Bruce Ellsworth	Unaffiliated Member
George Smith	Unaffiliated Member
Richard J. Bolbrock, P.E	Municipal & Electric Cooperative Sector – Member
David Johnson	Independent Power Producers of NY. – Member
Jim McCloskey	Central Hudson Gas & Electric – Alternate Member
Mayer Sasson	Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc.- Member New York
Mike Mager	Couch White (Large Customer Sector) - Member
Sunil Palla	NYP&A – Member - Phone
Rick Brophy	New York State Electric & Gas/RG&E – Representative

Other

Carl Patka, Esq.	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Rana Mukerji	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Henry Chao	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Wes Yeomans	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Vijay Puran	NYS Department of Public Service
Don Raymond	Executive Secretary
Bob Boyle	ICS Chair
Roger Clayton	Electric Power Resources, LLC – RSS/RCMS Chair
John Adams	Consultant – Phone*
Leka Gjonaj	NYS Department of Public Service

Visitors- (Open Session)

Philip Fedora	Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC)
Mariann Wilczek	PSEGLI
Marco Padula	NYS Department of Public Service

“*” Denotes part-time

- 1.0 Introduction** – Chairman Dahl called the NYSRC Executive Committee (Committee) Meeting No. 205 to order at 9:30 a.m. on May 13, 2016.
- 1.1 Meeting Attendees** – All Members and/or Alternate Members (or representatives) of the NYSRC Executive Committee were in attendance.
- 1.2 Visitors** – See Attendee List, page 1.
- 1.3 Requests for Additional Agenda Items** – None
- 1.4 Declarations of “Conflict of Interest”** – None
- 1.5 Executive Session Topic** – None

2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items

- 2.1 Approval of Minutes for Meeting No. 204 (April 8, 2016)** – Mr. Raymond introduced the revised draft minutes of the April 8, 2016 Executive Committee meeting which included all comments received to date. There were no additional comments. Mr. Ellsworth moved for approval of the revised draft minutes. The motion was seconded by Dr. Sasson and unanimously approved by the Executive Committee members – (13 to 0). The Executive Secretary will post the minutes on the NYSRC website – **AI #205-1.**
- 2.2 Action Items List** – The Executive Committee reviewed the Outstanding Action Items list and accepted removal of the following items:

<u>Action Item #</u>	<u>Comments</u>
195-2	Mr. Raymond reported that the “meeting material” back to 2005 is on the NYSRC web site.
204-2	Comments were provided on the preliminary study scope for Emergency Assistance by the Executive Committee on or before 5/01/2016.
204-4	Mr. Smith provided the updated MDMS milestone dates at the May 5, 2016 Executive Committee.

It was noted that the Compensation Committee Report is due at the June 10, 2016 Executive Committee meeting.

3.0 Organizational Issues

3.1 NYSRC Treasurer’s Report

- i. Summary of Receipts & Disbursements** - Mr. Raymond introduced the Summary of Receipts and Disbursements which shows a surplus of \$235,000 at the end of May 2016. The 2nd Quarter 2016 Call-For-Funds of \$25,000 per TO was met in May 2016.

3.2 Other Organizational Issues - None

- i. NYSRC Web Site** – Mr. Raymond reported that meeting materials back to 2005 are now on-line at the NYSRC web site.

4.0 Capacity Subcommittee (ICS) Status Report/Issue

- 4.1 ICS Chair Report** – Mr. Boyle reported that the ICS met on May 4, 2016 and that the 2017-18 IRM Study is on schedule. He added that the NYISO had presented to the LCR Task Force a new process for determining LCRs which will adhere to the IRM established by the NYSRC and be at or below the LOLE requirement of 0.1 days/year. ICS was asked by the Executive Committee to determine whether

the new process would be in compliance with the RR&C Manual. Mr. Adamson pointed ICS to Section A.2(M1) of the RR&C Manual, “The NYISO conducted an annual analysis to establish LSE and locational installed capacity (ICAP) requirements for the next Capability Year. The analysis was based on NYCA ICAP requirements established by the NYSRC and utilizes models and assumptions consistent with those used by the NYSRC for its ICAP requirement study.” Mr. Patka took exception to the quote since the NYISO will not be changing the IRM process and that the LCR calculation is its responsibility.

Mr. Mukerji added that any new process would begin once the NYSRC had agreed upon the appropriate IRM via the Unified Methodology. He clarified further that the NYISO plans to use the NYSRC’s IRM study results and then try to optimize the LCRs of the two downstate zones based on economics with the constraint that the LOLE has to be less than or equal to 0.1.

In an email to Mr. Boyle and the ICS Members Mr. Patka stated, “.....the NYISO is well aware of the obligations to comply with NYSRC rules as well as the NYISO’s own Tariffs. The NYISO is in its evaluation stages of potential changes to its (LCR) methodology and will be discussing that information with its stakeholders. As described to the EC, the NYISO will keep the ICS and the EC informed as it progresses on the state of its proposal. Should the NYISO’s evaluation result in potential changes to the IRM methodology contained in Policy 5, the NYISO would discuss that with the ICS and the EC in advance.” Messrs. Mukerji and Patka reiterated that the NYISO would not implement a new process that violated NYSRC Policies or Rules. The Executive Committee expressed the importance of identifying any potential changes as early as possible.

Mr. Boyle addressed the status of the ICS studies for the 2017 IRM evaluation as summarized below:

- (a) Emergency Assistance – Messrs. Adams, Adamson and Drake (NYISO) have developed a study scope which was approved by ICS. The NYISO is exploring how they will determine appropriate interface limits. Results are expected in September 2016 and may be used as a sensitivity case. Dr. Sasson pointed out the situation will become even more serious since ConEd has given a one year notice that it will not renew the 1000Mw wheel which used two lines to PJM and two lines to NYC.
- (b) PJM LOLE – John Adams gave ICS a presentation supporting the use of a LOLE = 0.14 for PJM. ICS concurred.
- (c) SCR Model – The NYISO proposed that performance calculation include five years of event data and test data. ICS considered two other options, but settled on the NYISO option by majority vote.
- (d) Multi-Year Wind shape – The studies are completed, but questions were raised. The questions are currently under review.
- (e) Special Sensitivity Case – ICS approved changes to Policy 5. The changes will come to the Executive Committee for approval at its June 10, 2016 meeting.
- (f) NYISO Review of ELR/CLR Data – After review, ICS agreed to use the current ELR/CLR assumptions.
- (g) Environmental Initiative Update – Mr. Carney (NYISO) indicated that he saw no environmental issues affecting reliability for the 2017-18.
- (h) PJM 4 vs. 5 Bubble Model – The NYISO is not satisfied with the data from PJM. An ICS meeting is scheduled for May 20, 2016 to receive an update from the NYISO.

5.0 Reliability Rules Subcommittee Status Report/Issues

5.1 RRS Status Report & Discussion Issues – Mr. Clayton reported that a joint RRS/RCMS meeting was held on May 5, 2016. Two separate meetings were held, each with its own agenda and minutes. He summarized RRS’s current activities which are included in Sections 5.2 – 5.6 below.

5.2 Status of New/Revised Reliability Rules

i. Proposed NYSRC Reliability Rules Revision

a. List of Potential Reliability Rules (“PRR”) Changes – Mr. Clayton introduced the List of Potential Reliability Rule Changes.

PRR # 128, Definition of Bulk Power System. PRR 128 is tabled pending NPCC A-10 revisions. PRR #130, ETC Application, The Executive Committee approved “Posting for Comment” at its April 8, 2016 meeting. No comments have been received.

PRR #131, Dual Fuel Testing Requirements. Mr. Clayton noted that RRS sent a draft of the proposed PRR to Mr. Johnson (IPPNY) for comment prior to the RRS meeting. See Section 5.5i. PRR #132, I.4(R3) Transmission Data, is under consideration to clarify the definition of “erroneous data” used in determining potential Non-Compliance with the Reliability Rules by Market Participants. The PRR is scheduled to come to the Executive Committee for “Posting for Comment” at its June 10, 2016 meeting.

5.3 Proposed NYSRC Reliability Rule Revisions

a. Status of New/Modified Reliability Rules

1. PRRs for EC Final Approval – None
2. PRRs for EC Approval to Post – None
3. PRRs for EC Discussion – None

5.4 NERC Standards Development – Nothing new to report.

5.5 Other RRS Issues –

- i. **RRS Status Report** – Mr. Clayton indicated that PRR #131 was sent to Mr. Johnson (IPPNY) and others for comment prior to the RRS meeting. IPPNY commented that the Rule is unnecessarily burdensome especially for generating units that have no obligation to install dual fuel capability and receive no compensation. RRS clarified that the Rule applies only to units that have dual fuel capability and that costs associated with testing would be compensated through the NYISO. However, many air quality permits do not provide for emissions during testing. Dr. Sasson noted that the time needed for testing should be short for the applicable units. Mr. Johnson indicated that some generators have expressed the need for a Stack Test per the NYSDEC before dual fuel testing. The Stack Test costs over \$1.0 million. Mr. Mager suggested that perhaps the frequency of testing could be reduced to moderate the burden. Further discussion raised questions regarding: (a) the “Gold Book” dual fuel capability information, (b) the extent of the PRR coverage, such as all of NYCA or only downstate, and (c) the application of the PRR to generators in various stages of readiness to fuel switch.

Mr. Clayton further reported that RRS is reviewing NERC System Restoration requirements and NERC and NPCC black start testing requirements to determine whether they are more stringent than the NYSRC’s comparable requirements – **AI - #205-2**.

- ii **Bucket List** – Mr. Clayton noted that RRS is working on the List of BPS Facilities and revisions to the C.4, Solar Magnetic Disturbances to be consistent with the new NERC Standards. Also, RRS is considering a new glossary definition for DMNC and will be reviewing all Reliability Rules to determine whether NERC or NPCC Standards are more stringent.

6.0 Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee (RCMS) Report/Issues

6.1 RCMS Status Report & Discussion Issues – Mr. Clayton reported that RCMS met on May 5, 2016 following the RRS meeting.

6.2 2016 New York Reliability Compliance Program (NYRCP) – RCMS found the NYISO to be in full compliance with the following Requirement(s):

- (a) A.2 (R1 to R3), Locational Capacity Requirements,
- (b) C.1 (R1, R2), Establishment of Operating Transfer Capabilities,
- (c) C.3 (R1 to R6), Transmission Outage Coordination Procedures,

- (d) C.4 (R1 to R3), Operating Procedures for Impending Severe Weather and Solar Magnetic Disturbances.
- (e) C.8 (R1), Real Time Operations of the NYS BPS, and
- (f) G.4 (R2), ConEd Identification of Eligible Black Start Resources.

Regarding Requirement G.4 (R2), ConEd Identification of Eligible Black Start Resources, ConEd performed a study to identify eligible black start resources. The compliance review was delayed pending NYISO notification that the required physical equipment had been installed. The testing is complete, and the NYISO will be providing the Certification at the next RRS meeting – **AI #205-3.**

7.0 Reliability Initiatives

7.1 Defensive Strategies – Mr. Smith reported that progress has been made on the evaluation of the two instability detection algorithms of the Defensive Strategies Project. It now appears that one of the two algorithms is performing very well. In the next phase, the candidate algorithm will be programmed in “Python” and implemented directly in PSS/E. This will provide for on-line testing of a variety of scenarios.

Most of the testing will be done on the most severe extreme contingencies. The NYISO has provided a few cases in which instability did not occur to test for a false indication from the algorithm. The revised Project schedule with estimated completion dates is shown below:

Task 3.1 - Instability Detection - 5/15/16
 Task 3.2 - Mitigation Measures - 6/30/16
 Task 4.0 - Validation testing - 6/30/16
 Task 5.0 - Technology Transfer - 9/15/16
 Task 6.0 - Final Report Draft Complete - 7/15/16
 - Final Report Comments Due - 8/15/16
 - Final Report Delivered - 9/15/16

A summary of the July 15, 2016 draft report will be provided to the Executive Committee for review and comment - **#205-4.**

The application will involve using PMU measures at 345Kv covering the interfaces that could experience system separation for contingencies tested including some that are beyond what NERC and NPCC define as extreme contingencies.

8.0 State/NPCC/Federal Energy Activities

8.1 NPCC Board of Directors (BODs) – Mr. Forte provided a summary of the NPCC BODs policy input to NERC from its most recent meeting. The input covered: (a) Assessing Reliability for an Evolving Bulk Power System and (b) Recommendations.

Comments and/or questions should be directed to Dr. Sasson or Mr. Forte (ConEd).

8.2 NPCC Report – Mr. Fedora reported that on April 28, 2016, NPCC had its media event and released its outlook for the summer 2016. Reliability for the NPCC Area appears to be strong. There are a number of transmission projects expected to be completed within NY and NE. Most of the generation comes from new hydro and wind units in Ontario and Quebec. The overall forecast of peak demand for the NPCC Area is about 1000Mws lower than last year. This reduction is attributed to improvements in energy efficiency, demand response programs, and contributions from behind the meter solar panels. The NERC Board of Trustees held scheduled a conference call on May 13, 2016 to consider three reports:

- (a) 2016 State of Reliability report which evaluates through several metrics the reliability of the system,
- (b) Phase 2 of the NERC studies evaluating the Clean Power Plans in terms of expectations of the

penetration of new renewable or other resources to meet EPA proposed requirements and (c) A short term special assessment looking at the operational risk of reliance on gas fired generation. Five Areas were studied in detail including New York and New England. The assessment was done from a screening perspective, i.e. 50/50 load forecast, 10% chance of exceeding load, and the capacity that is at risk. New York and New England members of the CP-8 worked closely with NERC. New York is in good shape due to its dual fuel generation. New England has procedures (OP-27) in place which address fuel shortages and a winter reliability program allowing for changes to the Market rules.

8.3 REV Presentation – Mr. Gjonaj introduced Marco Padula, Acting Deputy Director – Market Structures for the NYSPSC, who gave a presentation on New York’s Reforming the Energy Vision (REV). Mr. Padula discussed: (a) A description of REV, (b) REV’s Framework Order, (c) A REV roadmap, and (d) REV Track 2.

He indicated that the PSC’s REV proceeding is a component of the Governor’s overall energy plan and is designed to empower customers to better manage energy use through markets for Distribution Energy Resources (DER) to achieve higher system efficiency, lower environmental impacts and increased affordability.

The REV Framework Order hypothesizes that historical regulatory approaches and utility business models are not well adapted to address future business challenges and opportunities. The NYS PSC proposed several policy objectives for the future including enhanced customer knowledge, improved system efficiency, fuel and resource diversity, improved system reliability and reduced carbon emissions. In establishing a Distributed Systems Platform (DSP) it is envisioned that the utilities would be the DSP provider supported by Track 2 Business model changes.

To gain customer buy-in, a digital marketplace will be created to inform and encourage transactions, ease interconnections, and implement key customer protections. These will be acted upon by the NY PSC in 2016. To create market confidence, the DER asset base Distribution System Implementation Plan (DSIPs) will provide system planning information and the DSP will provide system data. The utilities will be allowed to charge fees for value-added data analysis. Utility ownership of DERs will be limited (e.g., energy storage). Early actions are Demand Response Tariffs approved in 2015, demonstration projects, and non-wire alternative projects.

REV Track 2 goals are: (a) align utility earnings opportunities with customer value, (b) evolve the utility business model to the modern economy, (c) provide customers with accurate and timely value signals, and (d) achieve the public policy objectives.

Next steps include Track 2 Ratemaking/Business Model Order, DSIP filings, evaluation of the DER Process, integrating REV proposals into on going rate cases and environmental Orders.

9.0 NYISO Status Report/Issues

9.1 Reliability Planning Process - The 2016 Reliability Planning Process has started with the 2016 RNA in progress.

Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing LLC notified the PSC and the NYISO on Nov. 13, 2015 of its intent to retire the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Generating Facility at the end of its current fuel cycle, which is projected to be approximately 4th Quarter 2016 – 1st Quarter 2017. In accordance with the new RMR process, the NYISO issued a Generator Deactivation Assessment on February 11, 2016 identifying a statewide resource deficiency beginning in 2019. The NYISO subsequently revised the assessment to reflect an updated load forecast and issued a revised Assessment on April 22, 2016 identifying no resource adequacy or transmission security-related Reliability Needs for the near-term period from 2016 through 2020.

9.2 CARIS – The NYISO is extending and updating the 2015 CARIS 1 database for potential specific project submittals.

No specific 2016 CARIS 2 project proposals were submitted as of April 30, 2016. Empire Connector has requested an additional CARIS study to assess the economic impact of a new transmission facility connecting Marcy and New York City. The study is on-going.

The NYISO staff is continuing its internal assessment of appropriate metric methodologies for estimating the capacity benefits of transmission projects.

9.3 Public Policy Transmission Planning Process – On July 16, 2015, the PSC declared a Public Policy Transmission Need (PPTN) in Western New York. The solicitation for solutions was issued on November 1, 2015 and solutions were due on December 31, 2015. The NYISO reviewed 15 proposals received from eight developers and performed the viability and sufficiency assessment (VSA). The VSA was published on April 29th, 2016. The NYISO identified seven viable and sufficient projects and recommended certain non-bulk transmission upgrades also be made to fulfill the objectives of the transmission need identified by the PSC.

On December 17, 2015, the NYPSC issued an Order finding that there is a transmission need driven by Public Policy Requirements to increase transfer capability of the Central East and UPNY/SENY interfaces. The NYISO issued a solicitation for solutions on February 29, 2016 with project responses due April 29, 2016. The NYISO is reviewing the proposals received from six developers, and will perform the viability and sufficiency assessment.

9.4 NYISO Clean Power Plan Study – The objective of the NYISO CPP study is to examine how New York’s compliance strategies interact with existing market rules and system reliability. The study will examine changes in transmission and system resources and changes needed to meet program objectives while maintaining essential reliability services. ABB has been retained to provide production cost simulations and PTI Siemens will be studying system stability under low load/high renewable cases. NERC Essential Reliability Services will also be reported. A Phase 1 presentation to stakeholders is expected in July, 2016.

9.5 Interregional Transmission Studies

i. EIPC Study – PJM requested changes in roll-up analysis for NPCC to PJM transfers due to a large negative transfer limit. This new limit will be reflected in the roll-up report which is still being finalized and will be posted soon.

In February, the EIPC suggested two scenarios: (a) Aggressive DG and (b) Increased Hydro Imports from Canada. Only the second scenario is initially under consideration. Depending on the results of the analysis aggressive DG may be considered. Some areas (Southeast U.S.) feel that this may only be a regional scenario. More details are needed before analysis can begin.

ii. IPSAC – The NYISO, PJM, and ISO-NE drafted the 2015 Northeast Coordinated System Plan, in accordance with the Amended Northeast Planning Protocol under Order 1000. The draft was issued in March, 2016. An IPSAC webex was held on May 9, 2016 to present: (a) The final NCSP report, (b) Regional Planning Needs and Plans for each of the ISO/RTOs, and (c) Projects potentially affecting neighboring systems.

9.6 Other Studies/Activities – None

10.0 Market Initiatives Impacting Reliability – Mr. Mukerji (NYISO) discussed updates to market initiatives felt to have significance from a reliability perspective.

The Behind the Meter: Net Generation Model will clearly define rules to allow incremental generation behind the meter to participate in the NY Wholesale Electricity market. The NYISO received stakeholder approval of the market design in December 2015 and sought FERC approval of the relevant tariff changes. The NYISO will also engage with stakeholders on further enhancements to integrate distributed resources into wholesale markets in 2016.

The Comprehensive Shortage tariff changes were implemented in November 2015. The NYISO has engaged stakeholders in a review of market design improvements to enhance the Scarcity Pricing mechanisms. The NYISO received stakeholder and FERC approval of the changes and is targeting implementation of the new practice in June 2016.

Also, the NYISO is reviewing various options for recognizing fuel-constraints through additional bidding features to evaluate interest in further developing the concept. Incorporating the fuel limitations directly in the scheduling software will allow for more efficient use of the resources to meet reliability

needs.

The NYISO is actively participating in the PSC's proceeding, Reforming the Electric Vision, directly supporting market working groups formed under Track One to facilitate conceptual discussions of REV design, system architecture, and potential interactions between retail activities and the wholesale markets. The NYISO is also looking to partner in various REV demonstration projects to evaluate the potential for operational and market impacts from DER participation.

FERC directed the NYISO to develop and file a set of rules to designate resources for Reliability Must Run (RMR) service to ensure the continued reliable and efficient operation of the power system and the NYISO Markets. The structure and administration will require: (a) specifying the retirement notification obligations, (b) a process for evaluating alternative solutions, (c) definition of compensation and cost allocation provisions, and (d) expectations for participation in the capacity and energy markets. In addition, the NYISO is exploring enhancements to its long-term planning process to support identification and development of solutions for potential generator retirements. The NYISO has developed and filed with FERC a proposed solution framework, but has not yet received approval for the new provisions.

The NYISO has initiated stakeholder discussion on evaluating alternate Methodologies for Setting Locational Capacity Requirements (LCRs). There are multiple possible approaches to determine the LCR requirement for a Capacity Zone after the IRM has been set under NYSRC's Policy 5. The NYISO continued discussions with stakeholders on alternate methods for determining Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirements (LCRs). This effort will look for ways to optimize LCRs based on minimizing capacity costs statewide while maintaining the minimum LOLE criteria, and addressing any cost allocation rules to ensure that loads are paying their fair share of capacity costs. The NYISO has engaged GE to assist in developing a mechanism that will evaluate the opportunities to refine the LCRs based upon the costs of maintaining the capacity. The NYISO expects results from this investigation in the 3rd Quarter of 2016. The Executive Committee instructed ICS to discuss and report back at the May 13, 2016 Executive Committee meeting whether the setting of the LCRs based on capacity cost minimization would violate the NYSRC rules and procedures – **AI #204-5**.

The Analysis Group is leading the Demand Curve Reset (DCR) evaluation and has had several discussions with stakeholders on the framework of the current DCR process, an evaluation of the periodicity of the DCR and a process for updating the net energy and ancillary services revenue offsets. Also, it has begun conversation on potential CONE unit types and typical costs. The NYISO received approval of proposed changes to extend the timeline to a four year reset cycle with annual updates. The DCR process will continue stakeholder review of the CONE unit requirements through 2016 with a filing of the revised parameters in November 2016.

On January 25, 2016, DPS Staff issued a whitepaper outlining its recommendations to the Public Service Commission for implementing the state's Clean Energy Standard (CES). The CES is intended to increase the amount of renewable energy generation in New York State to 50% of total generation by 2030 while retaining upstate nuclear power plants in support of the state's carbon dioxide emissions reduction goals. The NYISO is participating in the DPS stakeholder discussions and preparing comments on the white papers.

Since the announcement of the NYS PSC REV initiative, there has been a growing interest in wholesale market participation of storage resources. Currently, the NYISO has several resource classifications that can accommodate participation of storage in the wholesale markets that include: (1) Energy Limited Resource (ELR); (2) Limited Energy Storage Resource (LESR); and, (3) Demand Side Ancillary Services Program (DSASP). The NYISO is initiating discussions in the market working groups to engage stakeholders in a review of resource characteristics, existing market rules that define the opportunities for storage resources to participate in the markets, and an evaluation of revisions that may be necessary to accommodate new storage resources.

11.0 Other Items

11.1 NYISO Monthly Operations Report - Mr. Yeomans reported that the monthly peak load occurred on Monday, April 4, 2016 at 20,173Mws. The Operating Reserve requirement at the time was 1965Mws resulting in a minimum Operating Capacity requirement of 22,138Mws. There were no Major Emergencies in April 2016.

Alert states were declared on 16 occasions – 7 times for emergency transfers. There were no TLR Level 3s declared during the month. Reserve activations occurred 4 times. There were no NERC/NPCC Reportable DCS Events.

11.2 North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) – Nothing new to report.

12.0 Visitors' Comments – None

13.0 Meeting Schedule

Mtg.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Time</u>
206	June 10, 2016	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.	9:30 A.M.
207	July 8, 2016	NYISO, 10 Krey Blvd, Rensselaer, NY	9:30 A.M.

The Executive Committee Meeting #205 adjourned at 12:30 P.M.