

**Final Minutes**  
**New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)**  
**Executive Committee**  
**Meeting No. 79 – November 10, 2005**  
**Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.**

**Members and Alternates:**

Bruce B. Ellsworth	Unaffiliated Member – Chairman
Thomas J. Gentile	National Grid – Vice Chairman
Bart Franey	National Grid –Alternate Member – Phone*
Richard J. Bolbrock	Long Island Power Authority
Thomas C. Duffy	Central Hudson Gas & Electric - Phone
A. Ralph Rufrano	New York Power Authority - Phone
Curt Dahl	LIPA – Alternate Member – ICS Chairman
William H. Clagett	Unaffiliated Member
Michael B. Mager	Couch White, LLP (Retail Sector)
Timothy R. Bush	Muni. & Elec. Cooperative Sector – Alternate Member
George C. Loehr	Unaffiliated Member, RCMS Chairman
Glenn Haake, Esq.	IPPNY (Wholesale Seller Sector)
George E. Smith	Unaffiliated Member
Mayer Sasson	Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc.

**Others:**

Alan M. Adamson	Consultant & Treasurer
John M. Adams	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
P. L. Gioia, Esq.	LeBoeuf Lamb Greene & MacRae, LLP - Council
R. E. Clayton	Electric Power Resources, LLC – RRS Chairman
Don Raymond	Executive Secretary
Steve Jeremko	New York State Electric & Gas/Rochester Gas & Electric
Hank Masti	New York State Electric & Gas/Rochester Gas & Electric
Howard Tarler	NYS Department of Public Service*
Edward Schrom	NYS Department of Public Service

**Visitors – Open Session:**

Erin Hogan, P.E.	NYSERDA
Philip Fedora	Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC)

“\*” – Denotes part time attendance at the meeting.

**Agenda Items – (Item # from Meeting Agenda)**

I. **Executive Session** – An Executive Session was not requested.

## II. Open Session

- 1.0 Introduction** – Mr. Ellsworth called the NYSRC Executive Committee (Committee) Meeting No. 79 to order at 9:30 A.M.
- 1.1 Meeting Attendees** – Thirteen Members and/or Alternate Members (or representatives) of the NYSRC Executive Committee were present at the meeting. Messrs. Duffy and Rufrano were on the phone.
- 1.2 Visitors** – See Attendee List, page 1.
- 1.3 Requests for Additional Agenda Items** – None.
- 1.4 Executive Session Topics** – An Executive Session was not requested.

### **2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items List**

- 2.1 Approval of Minutes for Meeting No. 78 (October 14, 2005)** – Mr. Raymond introduced the revised draft minutes. Several additional corrections and clarifications were offered. Mr. Raymond will revise the minutes and circulate them among the Committee Members for final acceptance - **AI #78-1**.
- 2.2 Action Items List** – The Committee reviewed the Outstanding Action Items list and accepted the following items as complete:

<u>Action Item #</u>	<u>Comment</u>
74-13	The meeting with the NYISO was rescheduled for November 14, 2005.
76-9	Policy 4-3 was approved at the November 10, 2005 Committee meeting.
76-13	Mr. Loehr presented the modified cover letter at the November 10, 2005 meeting.
77-6	Mr. Loehr distributed the report in September 6, 2005.
77-7	Policy 1-4 was approved at the November 10, 2005 Committee meeting.
77-8	RCMS has completed review of the “Gold Book” without further comment.
78-1	Mr. Raymond posted the minutes of meeting #77 on October 27, 2005.
78-2	Mr. Raymond obtained the Large Consumer Sector and NYPA votes; both were “yes”.
78-3	The Committee voted on Policy 1-4 at its November 10, 2005 meeting.
78-4	Mr. Gioia forwarded the “more stringent rules” documentation to FERC staff in October 2005.
78-7	The UPNY-SENY transfer limits were discussed at the November 10, 2005 Committee meeting.
78-8	NYSRC and Nat’l Grid reached agreement without the need for a written opinion.
78-10	See #76-13.

### **3.0 Organizational Issues**

### 3.1 NYSRC Treasurer's Report

- i. **Summary of Receipts & Disbursements** - Mr. Adamson presented the Summary of Receipts and Disbursements for October 2005 which indicated \$125,500 in cash as of the end of October. He noted that projected year-end variance from budget is now \$11,000 below budget. Further, Mr. Adamson reported that the Call-For-Funds for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter, issued on September 10, 2005 for \$25,000 from each TO is fully paid. Mr. Adamson expects a year-end balance of \$50,000. At the December 9, 2005 meeting, he will present the monthly Cash Flow projection for 2006 and the first quarter Call-for-Funds recommendation.

### 3.2 Other Organizational Issues

- i. **Code of Conduct – Amendments Filing** – Mr. Gioia reported that he has delayed filing given all the other activities of the Committee - **AI-#77-2**.
- ii. **NYSRC Web Site Makeover** – Mr. Clayton described the progress that has been made in re-designing the NYSRC web site. The contractor has been paid one-half of the authorized \$1250.00 and expects to have a working version for Committee review by the December 9, 2005 Executive Committee meeting. Also, Mr. Clayton is asking for industry-related photographs or other graphics to include on the home-page.

### 4.0 Federal Energy Legislation –

4.1 **FERC ERO and Reliability Standards NOPR** – While in Washington, D.C. recently, Mr. Ellsworth had an opportunity to talk with Joe McClelland. Mr. McClelland requested NYSRC testimony at a FERC Technical Conference on November 18, 2006. In the meantime, Mr. William Flynn (PSC Chairman) indicated that the PSC would also like the NYSRC to testify in their behalf given our common interests in the development of the Reliability Rules. Mr. Loehr indicated that Mr. Regis Binder (FERC Staff) had contacted him indicating that the focus of the Conference would be (a) the process for developing Reliability Rules, (b) successes and challenges, (c) the revision process and (d) lessons learned that could help FERC. Apparently, there will be a number of panels consisting of 4-5 participants each that will be questioned by FERC staff. Each panel member will be given a ten-minute opportunity for opening comments. There is a follow-up Conference on December 9, 2006. In a related matter, Mr. Ellsworth noted the October 6, 2005 correspondence from Mr. Flynn to Mr. Joseph Kelleher (FERC Chairman) indicating the PSC's pleasure with (a) the Energy Act legislative process to-date and (b) the Congressional understanding that there may need to be additional regional reliability rules, particularly in New York State. He assured Mr. Kelleher that the PSC is looking to a process in which the NYSRC Rules will be the State's Rules and remain consistent with the eventual FERC Reliability Rules.

4.2 **NERC Regional Delegation Task Group** - Mr. Gioia reminded the Committee that he has participated with the Task Group in developing its Principles and monitored the Task Group's progress thereafter. They now have definitions of Regional Standards and Regional Differences. Further, they acknowledge that there can be additional regional standards that would not be enforced by the ERO provided they were not inconsistent or less stringent than the ERO's Standards.

4.3 **PSC Proposed Adoption of NYSRC Rules** – Mr. Ellsworth pointed the Committee to the October 6, 2005 correspondence from Chairman Flynn to Chairman Kelleher and in particular, the third paragraph; “As the Energy Policy Act requires that additional rules may only be established by “New York State,” we must formally establish State rules. Consequently, we will seek comment on what, if any, reliability rules should be adopted specifically for New York State.” Mr. Tarler emphasized that the letter represents the PSC's interpretation of the Energy Policy Act and the actions it feels it must take. Also, the letter indicates to FERC the PSCs intentions to fulfill its obligations under the Act and protect the “State

Savings Clause” carve-out for New York State. He noted that the PSC does not expect to be any more involved in the drafting or design of reliability rules than it currently is and will look to the NYSRC and NPCC for the appropriate rules. Mr. Tarler noted that what is needed is a minimum process whereby the PSC can formally accept those rules and do the necessary “due diligence” in accordance with the Energy Policy Act. Mr. Ellsworth noted that adoption of the rules by the PSC will afford the rules the effect of Law. The notice period for comment on the PSC’s Rule Making ends on November 28, 2006. Mr. Gioia is preparing the NYSRC’s comments, including the NYSRC’s belief that “formal” adoption of the rules may not be necessary – **AI #78-5**. Further, if the PSC feels they must act, perhaps an endorsement of the NYSRC Reliability Rules Process would be adequate, while avoiding duplicative administrative requirements such as formal hearings each time a Rule is added or changed.

## **5.0 Blackout Reporting**

**5.1 DOE Report – NYISO Follow-up List** – Nothing new to report.

**5.2 NYSRC Actions on Blackout Report Recommendations** – Mr. Clayton indicated that there were no significant changes on the status of the Blackout Report recommendations. However, he noted the recently issued PSC Blackout Report will require a review of the current recommendations and changes to the Blackout rules being considered by RRS, particularly in the area of Black Start requirements – **AI #79-2**.

**5.3 NPCC Blackout Report Recommendations** – Nothing new to report.

**5.4 PSC Blackout Report** – Mr. Tarler noted that the Blackout Report was placed on the PSC web site on November 9, 2005 and a letter of notification was sent out to a broad audience. The PSC will want to meet with parties affecting reliability, such as the NYSRC, NYISO, TOs and downstate generators to discuss what may be done in response to the Report’s results and recommendations. Dr. Sasson noted that the NYISO Management Committee (MC) had approved the New York City Black Start Program subject to verification by the MC’s Chairs and Vice-Chairs of its standing committees that the tariff changes meet the scope of the MC’s approval. The new Black Start tariff would implement some of the PSC recommendations.

## **6.0 Installed Capacity Subcommittee Status Report/Issues**

**6.1 ICS Status Report and Discussion Issues** – Mr. Dahl noted that ICS met on November 2, 2005. The primary purpose was to discuss the base case results for the 2006-07 IRM Study. He circulated the graphic results from the “Unified Methodology” showing Locational Capacity Requirements for Long Island and NYC as a function of IRM. The results were developed using the thermal transfer limits for the UPNY-SENY interface. Mr. Dahl observed that the “Curve” for Long Island changed very little from last year. The “Curve” for NYC changed somewhat more; from 78% at the inflection point to 81.7%. Mr. Dahl surmised that this change was due to the decrease in emergency assistance from PJM. The model for this year reflects an improved PJM representation including the use of three PJM areas and a dynamic model for transfer capability into New York. Mr. Dahl also noted that the NYC “Curve” has a potential second inflection point. ICS theorizes that there may be a second transmission constraint developing. ICS plans to study this possibility further – **AI #79-3**.

**6.2 UPNY/SENY Interface Evaluation** - Mr. Dahl reported that at the ICS meeting, the NYISO presented the benchmarking work they had done relating the Hudson Valley voltage transfer limit to available capacity. This information will provide for a more rigorous transfer limit allowing voltage to be a

limiting factor during the appropriate hours. However, thermal considerations will remain the limiting factor in most hours, particularly in 2006. After a lengthy discussion of the pro and cons of using the integrated transfer limit in the 2006-07 IRM Study base case, the Committee entertained a motion by Mr. Gentile to employ the integrated (thermal/voltage) model. The motion was seconded by Mr. Clagett and unanimously accepted by the Committee Members in attendance (13-0). Further, Mr. Dahl indicated that the NYISO, LIPA, PSC and ConEd held an open meeting on November 8, 2005 to discuss the voltage limit issue. Con Ed is suggesting an approach using transient stability analysis which will be further pursued by the parties.

**6.3 IRM 2006-07 Report – Status** - Mr. Dahl advised that the Report is on its 2<sup>nd</sup> draft and progressing well. He fully expects to have a draft ready for review prior to the December 9, 2005 Executive Committee meeting.

**6.4 Super Zone Study** - Mr. Dahl indicated that ICS discussed the NYSEG/RG&E Super Zone Study scope at length. Mr. Jeremko presented an updated scope which included all comments received thus far. Mr. Bush raised concern that the Study suggests dividing the NYCA and that it could provide information that may be used against the NYSRC in the Nat'l Grid Complaint hearings before FERC. Mr. Bolbrock requested that a budget be developed before Executive Committee approval is considered. Mr. Jeremko agreed to develop the budget and Mr. Adams offered NYISO assistance. The Committee acknowledged that the Study would be treated as a stand-alone deliverable – **AI #79-4**. Mr. Loehr raised concern about NYISO staff resources. Mr. Jeremko commented that with each Committee review of the scope, the Study has become more complex and time-intensive. The Committee agreed with Messrs. Loehr and Jeremko and acknowledged that prioritization of future activities may be required. Dr. Sasson suggested tabling approval until the next meeting, which was agreed to by the Committee. This provides additional time to develop the budget and also to obtain additional information from the on-going UPNY-SENY transfer limit work. The Committee concurred and further requested that the budget be broken down by task. Mr. Ellsworth noted that studies such as this, which are designed to break new ground and increase the breadth of the Committee's knowledge, deserve very serious consideration. Mr. Clagett mused that the Defensive Strategies (a.k.a. Disturbance Protection) effort falls directly into this same category.

**6.5 National Grid Complaint Filing** – Mr. Gioia reported that after the last meeting, he was in contact with the Nat'l Grid lead attorney, Mr. Colin Owyang. Mr. Owyang agreed that an Executive Committee vote was not required provided the issue dealt with a previous action taken by the Committee. Mr. Owyang also expressed the view that cost participation by Nat'l Grid was appropriate, provided the scope of the response addressed prior Committee actions. Mr. Gioia indicated that the response to the Complaint will lay out the basic provisions of the Agreements and Policy 5 with respect to the responsibilities of the NYSRC and NYISO regarding resource adequacy. He will explain the process the NYSRC goes through to develop and reach consensus on the capacity requirements including the actions of the Executive Committee and the NYISO Operating Committee. Then Mr. Gioia will indicate that the Executive Committee has acted reasonably pursuant to its responsibilities and that the NYSRC is not responsible for the locational allocation of capacity requirements or the allocation of installed capacity costs and is not involved in the NYISO market design. He added that at this point, he does not address technical issues or take a position regarding the merits of a particular methodology. Instead, the response will emphasize that the NYISO Operating Committee is the place to address the Nat'l Grid concerns. Mr. Gioia will circulate a draft for comment during the week of November 13, 2005. The latest filing date is November 22, 2005 – **AI #79-5**.

#### **6.6 Other ICS Issues**

**i. PSC Renewables Proceeding** – Nothing new to report.

**ii. NYISO/NE Mutual Emergency Assistance Study** – ICS approved the scope of the Study, but with

numerous comments. The scope with comments were sent back to the NE-ISO Planning Group for its approval.

- iii. **NERC Resource Adequacy Drafting Team** – The kickoff meeting is scheduled for November 15, 2005. Mr. Fedora indicated that the drafting team’s scope is being reviewed since the Energy Legislation, which was passed subsequent to the initiation of the Drafting Team, directs that resource adequacy will be done by the states. The issue becomes whether the SAR needs to be re-posted or can the Drafting Team come to a resolution on its own. NPCC’s preference is for the Regional Reliability Organizations to be at a peer level with the ERO.

## 7.0 Reliability Rules Subcommittee Status Report/Issues

7.1 **RRS Status Report & Discussion Issues** – Mr. Clayton reported that the Subcommittee met on November 3, 2005.

### 7.2 Status of New/Revised Reliability Rules

#### i. Proposed NYSRC Reliability Rules Revision

a. **List of Potential Reliability Rules (PRR) Changes** – Mr. Clayton referred to the table, List of Potential Reliability Rule Changes – Outstanding. He noted that PRR#73, Verification Testing of Resource Capacity, and PRR#78, Operation During Major Emergencies were approved at the October 14, 2005 Committee meeting. Further, Mr. Clayton indicated that PRR#74, System Data Requirements, has been posted with comments due by November 7, 2005. Since there were no comments, RRS will bring the PRR to the Committee for approval at the December 9, 2005 meeting. At the September 9, 2005 Executive Committee meeting, PRR#76, Emergency Restoration Procedures, was remanded back to RRS based on suggested revisions from Dr. Sasson. An administrative issue remains to be resolved between the NYISO and ConEd. Also, Mr. Clayton indicated that RRS would like Committee “approval to post” for a new PRR#79, Applications of the NYSRC Reliability Rules. The new PRR#79 will protect market participants against TOs unilaterally changing an Application or adding/deleting from the Application List without NYISO approval. Also, it will provide the vehicle through which RCMS can monitor compliance with the Applications. After discussion, Dr. Sasson moved for Committee “approval to post”. The motion was seconded by Mr. Clagett and unanimously approved by the Committee Members in attendance (13-0). Lastly, Mr. Clayton introduced a document that summarized the status of NYSRC Measurement and Compliance modifications necessary to implement Reliability Rules compliance responsibilities between the NYSRC and NYISO.

#### b. Status of New/Modified Reliability Rules

1. **PRRs for Final EC Approval** – See 7.2i.a.

2. **PRRs for EC Approval to Post for Comments** – See 7.2i.a.

3. **PRRs for EC Discussion** – See 7.2i.a.

### 7.3 NPCC/NERC Standard Tracking -

- i. **NERC Standards Development – Status** – Mr. Adamson indicated that Standard IRO, Coordinate Operations, received a “yes” vote from the NYSRC, but did not achieve a quorum. Therefore, it has been re-balloted. Similarly, the group of six standards, FAC 008-1 through 013-1, Determining Facility Ratings, Operating Limits, and Transfer Capabilities) failed to receive a quorum and is being re-balloted. The Standard FAC-003-1, Transmission Vegetation Management Program, vote is in progress with an end date of November 21, 2005. The NYSRC recorded a “yes” vote. Mr. Adamson also indicated that the Standards Process Manual was posted for comments by November 21, 2005. The change requires a cost risk/benefit analysis before voting on any standard. The RRS will be

providing numerous comments in opposition to the scope and applicability of this SAR.

- ii. **NYCA Impact From New A-10 Document (Definition of Bulk Power System)** – Mr. Fedora indicated that at the September 7, 2005 NPCC-RCC meeting, an Implementation Plan was approved. The A-10 Document has been posted a second time for comment and the TOs have been asked to submit a list of their bulk power facilities. Once they have been evaluated against the proposed criteria, the results will be presented to the RCC. The RCC meeting on November 30, 2005 is the current target date for completing the evaluation. The RCC will not act until the evaluation is complete.

#### **7.4 Other RRS Issues –**

- i. **Policy 1-3 Revision** – Mr. Clayton re-introduced the proposed revision to Policy 1-3, which was discussed at the October 14, 2005 meeting. The only change is a point clarification in Section B. to make it clear that the posting process alone is expedited. Following discussion, Mr. Gentile moved that Policy 1-3 be accepted as revised. The motion was seconded by Mr. Smith and unanimously approved by the Committee Members in attendance (13-0).

### **8.0 Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee Status Report/Issues**

- 8.1 **RCMS Status Report and Discussion Issues** – Mr. Loehr noted that the RCMS last met on November 9, 2005. The Subcommittee is very concerned about the risk/benefit analysis proposed in the new Process Manual SAR. They view the proposal as nearly impossible to carryout in a meaningful way, particularly in the context of a reliability rule that often is selected as a proxy for multiple events that could stress the transmission system.
- 8.2 **Status of NYSRC 2005 Reliability Compliance Program (NYRCP)** – Mr. Loehr reported that RCMS is ahead of last year in completion of the Program. Only the Market Participant requirements remain, all of which are scheduled for December 2005.
- 8.3 **Modified Resource Adequacy Assessment for 2005-08 – Status** - Mr. Loehr reminded the Committee that at its last meeting, the Committee concluded that for this year’s Report, the RCMS cover memo should acknowledge any changes since the Assessment began. The conundrum stems from the fact that in today’s business environment, the approval of a project does not necessarily assure that it will move forward. Therefore, in the future, RCMS recommends that at the beginning of the annual Resource Adequacy Assessment, the Gold Book data should be reviewed carefully with the TOs and Executive Committee. After discussion and assuming inclusion of the suggested comments, the Committee concurred with the proposed RCMS cover letter.
- 8.4 **Policy 4 Revision** – Mr. Loehr indicated that RCMS approved the Policy 4 revisions at its October 2005 meeting. The revisions provide “due process” to the NYISO in addressing compliance issues. After discussion, Mr. Loehr moved for approval of the revision. The motion was seconded by Mr. Smith and unanimously approved by the Committee Members in attendance (13-0). Mr. Raymond will post the revised Policy 4 on the NYSRC web site – **AI #79-6**. Policy 5 will be updated once the current IRM cycle is completed.
- 8.5 **Other RCMS Issues** – Mr. Loehr reported that RCMS has begun gathering the elements of a 2006 NYRCP. Once a draft is ready, it will be reviewed with the NYISO in preparation for bringing the proposed Program to the NYSRC Executive Committee for approval.

### **9.0 Key Reliability Issues**

- 9.1 **Defensive Strategies – NYISO Meeting on Sept. 8, 2005** – Mr. Claggett reported that Messrs. Claggett, Gentile, and Loehr will be meeting with the NYISO on November 11, 2005.
- 9.2 **Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative** –

- i. **Letter to RGGI Team on Scheduling Response to NYSRC Issues – Status** – Mr. Gioia attended the New York Stakeholders meeting on September 12, 2005. After the meeting, Karl Michael (DEC Project Manager) acknowledged to Mr. Gioia, the importance of the reliability issues and expressed his need for assistance in carrying out the reliability assessment. Ms. Hogan indicated that the NYISO and PSC will be working with them. Also, it was indicated at the meeting that the state regulations promulgating the “Model Rule” will not be adopted by the State until after the completion of Phase 2 work. Ms. Hogan reported that to her knowledge, the Project Team currently has neither a documented scope of work nor a schedule confirming that Phase 2 will be carried out prior to the State implementing the “Model Rule”. Since this matter is of great importance to the NYSRC, she urged the Committee to exercise caution. Ms. Hogan agreed to request a written schedule.
- ii. **NYSDEC Response to NYSRC RGGI Questions** – Nothing new to report.
- iii. **NPCC Actions on RGGI** – Mr. Fedora indicated that the issue was discussed at the last NPCC Executive Committee meeting. A letter was sent October 28, 2005 under Mr. Schwerdt’s signature indicating NPCC’s interest in the RGGI process from a reliability standpoint.
- iv. **Next Steps** – Nothing additional to report.

## 10.0 NYISO Status Report/Issues

**10.1 NYISO Planning Process** – Mr. Adams indicated that the first step in the Planning Process, the Needs Assessment, is complete. The NYISO Operating Committee gave their approval (95% vs. 5%) last week and the Management Committee this week. It will now go to the NYISO Board together with a ConEd minority report. Dr. Sasson explained that in ConEd’s view, the report lacked sufficient technical clarity to be acceptable.

## 10.2 Studies – Status

- i. **Reactive Resource Adequacy** – Mr. Adams indicated that the latest version of the Hudson Valley Voltage Study has been sent to SOAS together with the benchmarking analysis. The Study has been posted on the NYISO web site.
- ii. **Electric Deliverability Study** – Mr. Adams indicated that based on comments received, the NYISO will be requesting a two-month extension at the November 15, 2005 IITF meeting.
- iii. **NYSERDA/NYISO Gas Study** – Mr. Adams reported that Levitan is doing an analysis of gas and oil supplies for this winter. A draft is due during the week of November 13, 2005. The primary focus is fuel supply for electric generation as a result of the current Gulf situation.
- iv. **NYSERDA/NYISO Wind Study** – Nothing new to report.

## 10.3 Other Studies/Activities –

- i. **NYCA Reactive Power Study** – Dr. Sasson reported that the study group met on November 8, 2005 to finalize the study scope. It must now gain the approval of SOAS, TFSS, and the Operating Committee.
- ii. **NYSERDA Oil Infrastructure Study** – Nothing new to report.

## 11.0 Other Items

**11.1 Millennium Gas Pipeline** – Nothing new to report.

**11.2 NYISO Operating Report** – Mr. Raymond reported that the frequency excursions in September 2005 continued to be a nagging concern. Mr. Bush noted that many operating people attribute the fluctuations to MISO scheduling inaccuracies. The remaining performance parameters were within normal ranges.

**11.3 North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Report** – Mr. Ellsworth noted that there is a NAESB Board meeting on December 13, 2005.

**11.4 Summit Conference** – Mr. Bush thought the Summit was informative and well run. He noted that for

the first time Chairman Kelleher seemed to warm to the existence of Regional Reliability Rules. Mr. Gentile gave a presentation on “Planning in a Market Environment” for New York and New England.

**11.5 NPCC Report –**

**i. Eastern Interconnection Frequency Excursions** – Mr. Fedora indicated that surveys in some Areas are showing over-generation during low load periods which is contributing to the frequency excursions. Also, NPCC will be releasing its “Winter 2005-06 Resource Adequacy Assessment” on November 18, 2005. Mr. Bush added that the MISO is known to have “loose” scheduling practises.

**11.6 Reliability First Corporation – Update** – Mr. Jeremko distributed a handout which summarized the status of the development of the Reliability First Corporation.

**12.0 Visitors’ Comments** – None

**13.0 Meeting Schedule**

<u>Mtg. No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Time</u>
#80	December 10, 2005	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.	9:30 A.M.
#81	January 13, 2006	Nurses Association, Latham, NY.	9:30 A.M.

The open session of Committee Meeting No. 79 was adjourned at 3:45 P.M.