

**Final Minutes**  
**New York State Reliability Council**  
**Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee (RCMS)**

**Meeting #3- February 17, 2000**  
**Logan Hilton, Boston Mass.**

**Members and Alternates:**

John A. Casazza	Unaffiliated member
Ron Halsey	Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Cliff Engstrom*	Town of Massena Electric Department
Alan M. Adamson	Consultant
Gregory A. Campoli	New York Independent System Operator
Mike DeLaura	New York Power Authority
Soheir Mikhail*	Consolidated Edison Company of New York
Curt Dahl	Keyspan Energy
Keith O'Neal	New York Public Service Commission

\* did not attend

**Guests**

Quoc Le	NPCC
---------	------

**Introduction**

Quoc Le, representing NPCC, was introduced to the RCMS membership. Mr. Le serves on the NPCC CMAS subcommittee representing NPCC staff.

**Agenda Items- (Item # from Meeting Agenda)**

**1.0 Approval of Agenda**

The agenda was reviewed and approved with several adjustments.

**2.0 Approve RCMS Minutes of January 10, 2000**

The minutes from the January 10, 2000 meeting were approved with changes. The changes will be made and distributed to RCMS and to Joe Fleury for posting on the NYSRC web site.

### **3.0 Review Status of RCMS Action Items**

Action items were reviewed. One editorial change was made to the current list. The Secretary will add the action items from this meeting and distribute the updated action item list with the draft minutes.

### **4.0 Review of the Status of the NYS Legislative Reliability Study**

Mr Casazza provided an update on the activities begun on the NYS legislative reliability study. It will be a Transmission and Distribution reliability study headed up by NYSEDA. A consultant will be selected to run the study which will be overseen by an advisory committee with representatives from the NYPSC, NYISO and others. Mr. Casazza and Mr. Bolbrock are on the advisory committee. A draft report is due in August, 2000 and the final report is due in December, 2000.

### **5.0 Review of February 16, 2000 NPCC Workshop on Compliance**

Mr. Adamson summarized the NPCC workshop that several RCMS members attended. He discussed the inconsistent format between the NERC planning and operating standards. The following items were also discussed:

1. Need to set up a meeting with ISO on RCMS process
2. Need to have Mr. Halsey of NPCC CMAS meet with NYISO and RCMS
3. Whether or not the NYSRC and RCMS is responsible for enforcement (It was generally agreed that RCMS could enforce local rules or those rules more specific and more stringent than NPCC. It was noted that enforcement is not in the RCMS scope)

### **6.0 RCMS Compliance Review Process**

Type C- It was agreed that for type C reviews that the NYISO would prepare the compliance report and RCMS would monitor and assess compliance. It was agreed that Type C are rules or parts of rules not covered by NERC or NPCC rules, meaning they are specific to NYS or more stringent than NPCC or NERC.

Type B- It was agreed that RCMS wants to see NYISO compliance report before it goes to NPCC.

Type A and B- These rules were discussed and the draft flow chart was modified accordingly.

**Action Item #3-1: Mr. Adamson and Mr. Campoli will draft templates for type C and D rules - due April 1.**

**Action Item #3-2: Mr. Adamson will modify compliance review flow diagrams per RCMS discussion- due February 24.**

### **7.0 RCMS 2000 Compliance Program**

After a general discussion of the issue, it was agreed that RCMS would urge the EC to review the study of reliability issues associated with procurement of ICAP one month in advance as opposed to six months in advance (one capability period which is currently used).

**Action Item #3-3: Mr. Casazza agreed to urge the EC at its next meeting to review the study of reliability issues associated with ICAP procurement one month in advance as opposed to six months in advance- due 3/24.**

As part of the assessment template, the RCMS wondered how the NYISO was handling rule 3.1.2 with respect to procurement and unforced capacity.

**Action Item #3-4: RCMS will inquire of the NYISO at the March 8 meeting how it handles rule 3.1.2 with respect to procurement and unforced capacity.**

It was agreed to ask RRS to review possible changes to rule 3.1.2.

**Action Item #3-5: Mr. Casazza agreed to ask RRS at the next EC meeting on 3/24 to review possible changes to rule 3.1.2.**

### **8.0 DOE Interim Report on Reliability in 1999 and Relevance to RCMS Activities**

Mr. Casazza reported that the DOE report indicates reliability management has deteriorated across the country, power systems are faced with an aging infrastructure in transmission, load forecasting is inadequate, system operators need tools to run the power system and are not always supported with adequate tools. Mr. Casazza noted a paper on aging power system plant can be found at **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

### **9.0 ISO Reporting Requirements for 2000 Compliance Assessments**

It was agreed that Mr. Campoli, Ms. Mikhail, and Mr. O'Neal would work on identifying the operating data that will be needed from the NYISO for this summer. In addition, this team will identify and collect needed data this summer to assess the ICAP assumptions that went into the study that determined the 2000 ICAP requirement.

**Action Item #3-6: Mr. Adamson agreed to draft a letter to the chair of the ICAP working group and ISO staff requesting data this summer needed to test the**

**assumptions that went into the study that determined the IRM including data that can address the issues and questions raised about the ICAP study- due 3/15.**

**Action Item #3-7: Mr. Adamson agreed to draft a letter for Mr. Bolbrock's signature, to the NYISO indicating which rules RCMS would assess this year and which assessments RCMS would request NYISO presentations on- due 3/1.**

**Action Item 3-8: Mr. Adamson agreed to update RCMS planning review and operating table based on NPCC materials- due 3/15**

### **10.0 Estimated NYSRC Manpower Requirements for Compliance Reviews**

There was a discussion of the effort and personnel requirements that would be needed to carry out the mission and scope of the RCMS. It was agreed that the entities represented on the RCMS would likely not be able to secure the manpower necessary to cover the scope of the RCMS and that a logical solution would be to add NYSRC staff.

**Action Item 3-9: Mr. Casazza agreed to draft a letter to Mr. Bolbrock indicating manpower requirements for RCMS- due 2/28.**

### **11.0 Communication of NYSRC Compliance Program to ISO**

This was discussed under item 9.0.

### **12.0 NYISO Technical Information Exchange Meeting (March workshop to communicate NYS compliance requirements to MPs) (should RCMS participate?)**

It was agreed that such a meeting was a good idea and that RCMS could participate along with the ISO to provide market participants an idea of reliability compliance requirements and what would be expected of them.

**Action Item 3-10: Mr. Adamson agreed to develop an RCMS presentation on its compliance program for the TIE meeting- due sometime before the TIE meeting takes place which has not been set.**

### **13.0 NPCC CMAS Activities**

Mr. DeLaura gave a report on the latest NPCC CMAS activities.

### **14.0 NYISO Transmission and Interconnection Study Queue Update**

Mr. DeLaura reported that the total proposals in the study queue has grown to 45 with a total output projected to be about 20,000 MW. The Athens report was sent from TPAS to the NYISO Operating Committee and was approved at its last meeting. RCMS also discussed new transmission projects. Mr. DeLaura will send along an updated queue list as soon as the NYISO updates the list.

### **15.0 TPAS Reactive Study Update**

Mr. DeLaura reported that Mr. Casazza's concerns and issues associated with the study, and as conveyed to the NYISO by letter, will be incorporated in the scope of the study as appropriate. The scope has not yet been finalized.

### **16.0 Future NYISO Presentations to RCMS- (Reactive Study, Transmission Reliability Assessment)**

The group discussed NYISO presentations and agreed that the NYISO would make a presentation on ICAP issues in April.

### **17.0 NYISO CPS2 Violation**

Mr. Campoli provided an update on the NYISO violation of NPCC regulation criteria known as CPS2. A letter was written to Howard Tarler of PSC staff providing details of the violation and anticipated fixes. Mr. Campoli reported that CPS2 performance was improving as evidenced by a January figure of 87.3% and February performance to date meeting the 90% criteria.