

*Meeting of the  
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)  
Reliability Rules Subcommittee (RRS)*

*Final Minutes of Meeting #129  
RRS Meeting @ NYSERDA Offices  
17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY  
Thursday, March 4, 2010*

**In Attendance:**

|                         |                   |        |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| <b>Al Adamson</b>       | Consultant        | Member |
| <b>Larry Hochberg</b>   | NYPA              | Member |
| <b>Roger Clayton</b>    | Chairman          | Member |
| <b>Brian Gordon</b>     | NYSE&G (Phone)    | Member |
| <b>Ed Schrom</b>        | NYSDPS (Phone)    | Member |
| <b>Henry Wysocki</b>    | ConEd (Secretary) | Member |
| <b>Roy Pfleiderer</b>   | National Grid     | Member |
| <b>Robert Ganley</b>    | LIPA              | Member |
| <b>Zach Smith</b>       | NYISO             | Guest  |
| <b>John Adams</b>       | NYISO             | Guest  |
| <b>Paul Kiernan</b>     | NYISO             | Member |
| <b>Greg Campoli</b>     | NYISO             | Guest  |
| <b>Scott Leuthauser</b> | Hydro Quebec US   | Guest  |
| <b>Jim D'Andrea</b>     | TransCanada       | Guest  |

**Agenda Items**

**1.0 Introduction**

Meeting 129 was called to order by Mr. Roger Clayton at 09:30.

**1.01 Executive Session**

No Executive Session was requested.

**1.2 Requests for Additional Agenda Items**

**2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items**

**2.1 Approval of RRS Meeting #128 Minutes**

RRS Final Minutes Meeting 129.docx03042010

The minutes of RRS Meeting #128 were approved with changes as final.

## **2.2 Action Items List**

### AI 43-1:

Mr. John Adams of the NYISO provided a brief update to the RRS. Work is still ongoing.

### .AI 87-5:

Mr. Roy Pfleiderer provided an update. He stated that the RPWG is investigating the methods used by New England and PJM to control reactive power flows and reserves.

### AI 124-5:

All data has been received by all TOs.

## **3.0 NYSRC Reliability Rules (RR) Development**

### **3.1 List of Potential RR Changes**

RRS reviewed the list of potential rule changes.

### PRR 103

Mr. Roger Clayton identified some new developments with the PRR. The latest version of PRR 103 has been distributed to the RRS with changes based on the discussions held at the last meeting. Mr. Clayton brought forward comments made by Mr. Paul Gioia. Mr. Gioia objected to the definition of “suspect data”. Mr. Al Adamson then stated that the definition of suspect data already exists and was based on the definition of sample data used in GADs reporting. An additional comment by Mr. Gioia was in regard to the verification of data by NYISO. He was concerned that any suspect data identified by the NYISO would be replaced with generic data. Mr. Zach Smith confirmed that the NYISO would not replace data provided to them with generic data. Mr. Clayton believed that the wording in the PRR was explicit enough to address this and there was no need for further discussion.

Mr. Clayton then asked if there were any further comments. Mr. Greg Campoli had a concern regarding the implementation plan. Mr. Adamson responded that it was more of a RCMS concern. Mr. Campoli then went on to say that he did not think there was enough time to update and approve the NYISO System Analysis Data Manual and requested a new due date. The RRS agreed to extend the due date to 180 days after EC approval. Mr. Campoli then voiced another concern. He questioned how the NYISO could certify that the manual had been applied if it had just been approved. Mr. Adamson answered that there would not be any noncompliance issues until the new revision of the

manual had been implemented. Prior to that, anything submitted by the NYISO would only be considered examples of implementation.

The RRS recommends posting of PRR 103 to the EC with the condition that RCMS has no objections or comments.

#### PRR 105 - NYISO Policy #1-5

Mr. Clayton presented a comment by Mr. Gioia where he suggested that item 4 of E-M9 and item 1 of Para 1 be changed. There were no objections to changes by RRS. The changes suggested by Mr. Gioia would require the NYISO to submit changes to exceptions and then have the NYSRC approve the changes.

Mr. Adamson then reviewed changes made to Policy 1-5 with an emphasis on removal and modifying exceptions. Mr. Pfleiderer noted that the process is different for approving a new exception versus the removal and or modification of an exception. Mr. Clayton then stated that NYISO should make the initial approval and then EC would make the final approval. Mr. Pfleiderer suggested the EC be the first to be notified of any changes to the Exception list... The EC would then provide the necessary information to the NYISO so they can perform a proper review. The NYSRC owns all exceptions therefore the NYSRC must be notified initially. Mr. Adamson then asked if, in item 2 of C-M9, it was implied that the exception must go to the EC.

Mr. Clayton then asked the RRS whether they believed that items from Policy 1 be placed into the rule. He questioned whether it was necessary to duplicate the requirements of Policy 1 into the rule and then went further saying that Policy 1 helps develop the rules and these rules apply to the NYISO. Mr. Campoli didn't think it was necessary to make references to Policy 1 at all but Mr. Adamson felt strongly that it was still necessary otherwise Policy 1 steps would have to be incorporated into rule.

**AI 129-1:** As an action item Mr. Campoli and Mr. Adamson agreed to rewrite Policy 1 and PRR 105 to reflect the RRS agreement that the EC must do an initial approval on any new, modified or removed exceptions prior to a NYISO review, whether it be a new submittal or the annual review.

#### NPCC Update

Nothing to report

### **3.3 NERC Standards**

#### NERC Standard Tracking

TPL-001-1

It was announced that TPL-001-1 had been defeated. Mr. Zach Smith reiterated concerns brought by the NYISO to the EC, and stated that the NYISO had voted against the proposed standard. It was noted that the NPCC and National Grid had voted in favor of RRS Final Minutes Meeting 129.docx03042010

the proposed standard, but all other NPCC members voted against. Mr. Adamson stated that he had recommended a no vote to the EC. When Mr. Adamson submitted the no vote to NERC he also delivered NYSRC concerns to NERC. With the defeat, the NERC drafting committee must either withdraw the TPL or put it to a second vote after revision. FERC is recommending a yes vote.

PRC-001 was also defeated.

## **4.0 Additional Agenda Items**

### **4.1 BES / BPS Impact on NYSRC RR**

Mr. Clayton informed the RRS that updates would be provided by the NYISO and National Grid.

The NYISO presented first. Their first update was that the secured list would not be used exclusively to develop a BPS list. Their second point was that it was important to determine NERC's position on this before any BPS list could be finalized.

Mr. Campoli reviewed the latest proposal by the NYISO to what the definition of BPS should be. The main points of this proposal were that the BPS would include all 100kV and above equipment and facilities along with all generation that is subject to NYISO tariff. Requirements for more stringent criteria such as A-10 criteria would be specified by the rules.

Mr. Pfleiderer disagreed with the 100Kv bright line definition. He noted that this approach was originally discussed at the RRS at least 12 months ago. He also believed that the EC had tasked the RRS to provide a technical list that also had engineering justification for placing equipment on the BPS list. Mr. Pfleiderer believed the best way to accomplish this task would be for the RRS to recommend a facility for BPS based on defined attributes and engineering judgment and then submit the facility to the EC for ultimate approval.

Mr. Pfleiderer then presented the National Grid proposal for BPS.

Mr. Clayton then asked Mr. Campoli to consider adding the secured list to the NYISO proposal and changing the voltage level to 200kV and above.

**AI 129-2:** As an action item Mr. Campoli agreed to determine how facilities below 200kV would be identified to be placed on the BPS list and provide an estimate as to how many would be on the list now using these criteria.

### **4.2 NYISO Letter on Exception #9**

Request to remove exception #9 from the Exception list. This item was previously approved by RRS.

This item was again approved by RRS.

### **4.3 NYISO Voltage Criteria**

This work is in progress. The NYISO will have more information at the next meeting.

## **5.0 Committee Reports**

### **5.1 EC**

### **5.2 ICS**

The ICS was asked to review resources procured versus demand response.

### **5.3 RCMS**

The 2010 compliance program was approved by EC in February.

## **6.0 Next Meeting**

Meeting #129 was adjourned at 12:57

The next meeting (#130) is scheduled for Thursday, April 1, 2010 at 9:30 in the NYSERDA offices located at 17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY.