Demand Response: Preliminary Model Values for 2019 IRM Studies

Vijaya Ganugula
Manager, Distributed Resources Operations

NYSRC – Installed Capacity Subcommittee

June 27, 2018, Krey Corporate Center

NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Agenda

- Background
- Preliminary SCR model values for 2019 IRM studies
- Next steps
- Appendix
  - Description of ICS adjustment factors

Background

Overview of the SCR zonal performance factor calculation methodology as accepted at the 5/4/2016 ICS meeting

NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Background

- **NYISO calculates SCR zonal performance factors for IRM studies based on historical SCR performance. The data set includes:**
  - all event hours, by zone, for each mandatory event from the most recent five years in which a mandatory event was initiated by the NYISO (but not older than summer 2012)
  - all performance test hours accumulated during the above timeframe even when there were no mandatory events
    - 2019 IRM study data set includes all event hours from mandatory events and performance tests from Summer 2012 through Summer 2017
- **ICS applies additional adjustment factors (see Appendix for details)**
  - Translation Factor
  - Fatigue Factor

Effective Performance Factor = Zonal Performance Factor * Translation Factor * Fatigue Factor
SCR Model Value MW = SCR ICAP MW * Effective Performance Factor

Preliminary SCR Model Values

*Based on Gold Book estimates for SCR ICAP MW before actual July 2018 enrollment information is available

NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

Inputs for 2019 IRM Studies

- **Additional inputs since 2018 IRM studies**
  - Winter 2016-2017 and Summer 2017 SCR performance test hours – total of 2 hours
- **The data set consists of**
  - All event hours, by zone, from mandatory events from summer 2012 through summer 2017
    - Range from 20 event hours for Zone A to 52 event hours for Zone J
  - All performance test hours from summer 2012 through summer 2017
    - 11 performance test hours

FOR 2019 IRM - Preliminary SCR Model Values

Program	Super Zone	Superzone Performance Factor	ICS Adjustment Factors		Effective Performance Factor	SCR ICAP MW based on Gold Book Estimate (July 2017 MW)	Preliminary Model Values MW
			ACL to CBL Factor	Fatigue Factor			
SCR	A-F	86.3%	90%	100%	77.6%	696.1	540.5
SCR	G-I	74.6%	90%	100%	67.1%	82.7	55.5
SCR	J	71.3%	90%	100%	64.1%	392.2	251.5
SCR	K	70.9%	90%	100%	63.8%	48.1	30.7
Total						**1219.1**	**878.2**
							72.0%

Comparison of 2019 with 2018 SCR Values

FOR 2019 IRM - Preliminary SCR Model Values					2018 IRM - Final SCR Model Values			Comparison of 2019 with 2018 IRM		
Program	Super Zone	Effective Performance Factor	SCR ICAP MW based on Gold Book Estimate (July 2017	Preliminary Model Value MW	Effective Performance Factor	July 2017 MW	Final Model Value MW	Effective Performance Factor	July 2016 MW	Model Value MW
SCR	A-F	77.6%	696.1	540.5	77.3%	696.1	538.1	0.3%	0.0	2.3
SCR	G-I	67.1%	82.7	55.5	63.9%	82.7	52.8	3.2%	0.0	2.7
SCR	J	64.1%	392.2	251.5	63.1%	392.2	247.6	1.0%	0.0	4.0
SCR	K	63.8%	48.1	30.7	60.4%	48.1	29.0	3.4%	0.0	1.6
Total			**1219.1**	**878.2**		**1219.1**	**867.6**		**0.0**	**10.6**
				72.0%			**71.2%**			**0.9%**

No significant change in Effective Performance Factor since 2018 IRM studies

Next Steps

- Replace Gold Book SCR ICAP MW estimates with actual July 2018 enrollments once they become available on July 9, 2018
- Update on the ACL and CBL response analysis

NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

Appendix

SCR Adjustment Factors used in IRM Studies

- **Translation Factor (ACL to CBL)**
  - The Translation Factor is used to adjust performance based on ACL baseline to a CBL equivalent
  - Current value of Translation Factor is 0.90
- **Fatigue Factor**
  - The Fatigue Factor is applied to address concerns that fatigue may occur if SCRs are deployed frequently
  - Current value of Fatigue Factor is 1.00

The Mission of the New York Independent System Operator, in collaboration with its stakeholders, is to serve the public interest and provide benefits to consumers by:

- Maintaining and enhancing regional reliability
- Operating open, fair and competitive wholesale electricity markets
- Planning the power system for the future
- Providing factual information to policy makers, stakeholders and investors in the power system

www.nyiso.com

NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY