

## MDMS2 Project Status Report

**Update 2/8/19**

### **General:**

The monthly MDMS2 webex meeting was held on 1/8/19. Further simulation testing results were reviewed. Simulation testing associated with Task 2.2 is complete and are summarized below. The draft report for task 2.2 issued, reviewed and is now final. Monthly meetings for February and April are scheduled for 2/12 and 4/9 respectively. The face to face meeting is scheduled for 3/7.

### **Progress vs SOW:**

#### **Task 2-Review Prior Work and Simulation Case Development**

Task 2.1 **Prior Work** - Completed

Task 2.2 **Case Development** –

The 2022 base case has been received from the NYISO. The case is being updated to include DER Inverter based resources per the Gold Book. This includes behind the meter resources as well as BES connected resources. The base case, as received, does not contain UFLS modeling. Quanta to check with the NYISO. First, the updated case **without DER resources** added will be stressed with EC 12 to check for instability as was observed previously with the 2015 model. Then testing will be performed with added DER per the NYISO gold book and the IBR queue.

Extensive updates and testing of the modified 2022 base case have been performed the previous period. First, the base case was tested using the complete set of 73 NYISO extreme contingencies. Of these roughly 20 of the cases could not be solved for a variety of reasons including problems with contingency definition errors and problems with user defined FACTS models. These were resolved with help from the NYISO and stable results were achieved for all of the extreme contingencies.

At that point the case, as dispatched was lightly loaded with regard to key interfaces. To add stress, existing wind generation was increased from 11% to 55% to increase the loading of the interfaces with Central East loaded at 88%. The EC 12 case was run and showed the same instability as was observed with the MDMS project using no mitigation actions. Various other exploratory tests were performed to validate the behavior of the 2025 model. The next step was to add DER consistent with expectation, as outlined below and perform further tests.

With regard to Inverter Based Resource Models to be used in simulations, existing PSS/E models will be used as follows:

- Grid connected utility-scale IBRs:

- Wind: generic Type 4, version 2, wind generator (WT4G2) and electrical control model (WT4E2)
- Solar: generic generator/converter interface (REGCAU1), electrical controls model (REECBU1) and plant controller (REPCAU1)
  - Note: NERC PRC-024-2 voltage ride through settings will be used, which is coordinated with the Category II setting of the IEEE Std 1547-2018.
  - Note: Momentary cessation will not be modeled for utility-scale IBRs per NERC guidance
- BTM Solar IBRs:
  - Converter model (REECAU1) connected in parallel with the composite load model
  - Category I of the IEEE Std 1547-2018 voltage ride through settings will be used to model the worst-case scenario to evaluate the impact caused by the loss of IBRs during major disturbances.
    - Note: Momentary cessation could not be fully modeled with current PSS/E generic model REECAU1 or REECBU1. Both models are unable to fully model the Category III settings of the IEEE Std 1547-2018.
- Base Dispatch:
  - Utility-Scale Wind will be dispatched at **10%** of the installed capacity (note per recent NYISO presentation, July capacity factor is 15%)
  - Utility-scale Solar will be dispatched at 15% of installed capacity
  - BTM Solar will be dispatched at **15%** of installed capacity (per recent NYISO presentation BTM solar is running at 17% in July)
  - A sensitivity case will be created to dispatch BTM Solar at **80%** of the installed capacity for evaluating the impact at high IBR output condition.

Impact of selected contingencies on inverter based resources will be evaluated as a next step. Impact of the selected contingencies is currently under investigation.

During the recent DER workshop it was confirmed that there is a limitation of existing PSS/E inverter-based resources (IBRs) models. It has confirmed by modeling experts attending the workshop that existing PSS/E standard models are not capable of allowing such resources to be controlled during the simulation runs, which is consistent with Quanta's initial assessment. Developing a customized model would divert too much resource and efforts away from the main tasks of this project, and there is a high risk the developed model may not function as desired. Considering this, it was agreed that exploring the possibility of controlling IBRs as a new mitigation measure for major disturbances will be postponed until appropriate PSS/E models become available.

A separate case was developed to model **the Northeast Interconnection** which includes NY, New England, the Maritimes and Ontario. This Interconnection will be connected to the rest of the Eastern Interconnection using HVDC ties.. Impact of selected contingencies on inverter based resources will be evaluated as a next step for this configuration also.

Task 2.2 simulation testing is now complete. Results have been obtained for the full Eastern Interconnection topology (EI) as well as for the Northeast Interconnection Topology (NEI). For the EI, cases without and with IBR's were performed. IBR's associated with DER were dispatched at a high level ( 3430 MW wind, 1870 MW of BPS solar and 2900 MW of behind the meter solar) to stress the case.

Relative to the EI topology:

1. Four extreme contingencies were tested:

- Internal - NY transmission loss
- External - Ontario transmission loss
- External - NE (Loss of 2000 MW)
- External -I PJM transmission loss

For each of the contingencies tested, the appropriate interface was stressed at levels on the order of 90%.

2. Results for the extreme NY contingency remain unstable while the results for all of the external contingencies are stable. Note with the 2015 model tested in MDMS1, the external Ontario contingency was unstable. Results indicate that NY additions included in the 2022 model being used in MDMS2 make the NY system more robust.

3. With the addition of the IBR's associated with DER, it was assumed that if the voltage in a given area went below 90%, the DER in that area would stop generating due to momentary cessation.

The internal NY contingency case remained unstable while the external contingency cases remained stable . Note that DER were lost for the NY case but remained online for the external cases. Generally, the addition of IBR's has little impact on the performance of these extreme contingency cases.

Relative to the NEI topology:

1. Three extreme contingencies with IBR's added were tested:

- Loss of large NY generation (2600 MW)
- External - Ontario transmission loss as above for EI
- External – NE (loss of 2000 MW)

2. Of interest is that the results were stable for the loss of the 2000 MW in New England but were unstable for the other cases.

3. In Task 3, mitigation measures to be tested for this topology will include modulation of the connected HVDC power levels to see if this measure can stabilize the configuration for the contingencies that produce unstable results.

## Task 2 Reporting

A report on Task 2.1 has been released. A report on Task 2.2 (Case Development) has been issued and released. This completes the reporting on Task 2.

## Task 3- Instability Detection Algorithm and Mitigation Measures development

### Task 3.1 Algorithm Development

The MDMS instability detection algorithm and associated test cases were transferred from Enernex to Quanta via the NYISO secure site. Cases run on Quanta's computer matched those performed by Enernex for MDMS validating the correct transfer of code. Improvements to the instability detection process are under consideration including sampling before the event, wider separation of PMUs, algorithm simplification plus use of local protection to achieve additional security.

In addition, a concept of detecting severe external events using PMU data from neighboring areas is under development. The concept will involve preventative action that can be taken by operators for slowly evolving situations as well as automatic actions for rapidly evolving events and contingencies.

The Python scripts (program code that simulates the instability detection algorithm and mitigation measures) developed during the MDMS project will be moved from MDMS cases to stressed 2022 cases with added inverter-based resources for algorithm testing and validation on the 2022 cases.

Work continues.

### Task 3.2 Mitigation Measures

Work continues.

## Task 3 Reporting

A draft report has been issued (partial 3.1) on the assessment of the MDMS work. Some shortcomings and potential improvement areas were noted.

## Task 4- Testing

### Task 4.1 Testing of Detection Algorithms and Mitigation Measures

## Task 4 Reporting