0



12/9/21 DRAFT RESOURCE ADEQUACY METRICS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

A Final Report

January ___, 2022

Prepared by

NYSRC Resource Adequacy Working Group

- C. Wentlent, Chairman
- A. Adamson
- R. Clayton
- C. Dahl
- P. Fedora
- P. Gioia
- M. Sasson
- M. Younger

1. Introduction

This is the third and final report of a series of NYSRC Resource Adequacy Working Group (RAWG) reports on applications of reliability metrics. Previous reports have defined the three metrics -- Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE), Loss of Load Hours (LOLH), and Expected Unserved Energy (EUE)¹ -- provided a survey of entries using these metrics, provided metric results from recent ICS studies, and discussed the value of using all three metrics in NYSRC and NYISO IRM and resource adequacy applications. The RAWG has also reviewed, along with NYISO staff, several ICS IRM study results along with their associated metrics.

This report further discusses the key finding in the earlier reports, that the use of multiple reliability risk metrics - LOLE, LOLH, and EUE - in New York Control Area (NYCA) resource adequacy assessments and IRM requirement studies would be of benefit. The report also introduces the use of *risk profiles* that provide "pictures" of loss of load events. Risk profiles communicate a clearer understanding of the reliability outcomes of alternate resource scenarios, including extreme weather event scenarios. Next, the report lists several applications for the use of the three metrics in NYSRC and NYISO studies, followed by a discussion of NYSRC's LOLE criterion. Finally, the report provides recommendations, including NYSRC reliability rule and policy changes to incorporate use of these metrics. Future RAWG initiatives will be incorporated into the Annual NYSRC Goal-Setting process.

2. The Benefits of Using Multiple Metrics

Today, the range of types of resource options and applications in New York Control Area (NYPA) are expanding. Using just the current LOLE metric -- which provides only loss of load event frequency -- a system that has rare but very large events could appear to have the same level of reliability as a system with more frequent, smaller events. Moreover, increasing intermittent renewable resource and extreme weather events are future types of resource adequacy applications that can potentially provide many different types of shortfall events. Reliability events are now and will be more varied; therefore, understanding the size, frequency, and duration of potential shortfalls will become more important. This supports RAWG recommendation to supplement the currently used LOLE criterion metric with the LOLH and EUE metrics in all resource adequacy assessments, IRM studies, and NYISO Planning Studies.

¹ These reports can be found on the NYSRC web site at: https://www.nysrc.org/reports3.html. Brief definitions of these metrics are as follows:

LOLE: The number of events in which system load is not served in a given time period. This metric serves as NYSRC's resource adequacy criterion: "one expected loss of load event every 10 years."

LOLH: The expected number of hours in a given time period (often one year) when a system's hourly demand is projected to exceed the generating capacity.

EUE: The expected amount of energy (MWh) that will not be served in a given year.

Others in the industry have found that the three metrics can be used in a linear function to calculate, with very good accuracy, the other two metrics; but only for families of scenarios with fixed load or fixed resources.² Our own reviews of study results have verified this observation.

3. Risk Profiles: Pictures of Loss of Load Events

Providing a "picture" or a profile of loss of load events would make the application of multiple metrics more understandable and meaningful. To illustrate the use of *risk profiles*, below are four examples shown in Table 1. In all cases, the NYCA system meets the LOLE criterion of 0.1 days per year or one loss of load event every 10 years. For the purpose of illustrating the use of risk profiles, Table 1 examines reliability measures and metrics over a 10-year period from recent Installed Capacity Subcommittee (ICS) studies.

Table 1
Risk Profiles for a 10-Year Period for Selected ICS Studies

LOL Event Characteristic	Metric	2021 Base Case	2022 Base Case	2022 Sensitivity: NYCA Isolated	High Renewable Case
IRM		20.7%	19.1%	27.7%	42.9%
Number of LOL Events in 10 years	LOLE	1 event	1 event	1 event	1 event
Number of Hours per Event	LOLH	3.7 hours	3.4 hours	3.0 hours	3.3 hours
Unserved Energy per Event	EUE	244 MWhr	211 MWhr	167 MWhr	208 MWhr
Average Load Shortfall per Event		66 MW	62 MW	56 MW	63 MW

Table 1 shows that, relying only on the LOLE metric, the four recent cases selected appear to have same level of reliability in that they all have one loss of load event every 10 years; but by including all three metrics, we arrive at different reliability conclusions -- the range of loss of load durations of the four cases ranges from 3.0 to 3.7 hours per event while the unserved energy value ranges from 167 MWhr to 244 MWhr per loss of load event.

Table 1 also shows a "4th metric," load shortfall. A question raised by NYSRC Executive Committee members during past discussions of NYCA IRM studies has been: "How much load is expected to be dropped with this IRM during a one day in 10-year criterion event?" Up to now we have not been able to answer this question, but now with use of the LOLH and EUE metrics we are able to estimate shortfalls by dividing the EUE's unserved energy by the LOLH's hours duration. This result provides an "average MW shortfall" measure. Recent technical papers on reliability metric applications³ have calculated a "maximum shortfall," which may be a better measure; however,

² Source: Fazio & Hua, 2019, "Three probabilistic metrics for adequacy assessment of the Pacific Northwest power system."

³ Source: Fazio & Hua, 2019, "Three probabilistic metrics for adequacy assessment of the Pacific Northwest power system."

programming is needed to calculate the maximum shortfall measure from GE-MARS output. RAWG will discuss with NYISO staff the feasibility of calculating maximum shortfall from GE-MARS output.

Table 2 below illustrates another example of using metrics to provide a good picture of loss of load events. For example, limiting the metrics to only the LOLE metric for examining the recent California and Texas loss of load events could lead one to the conclusion that the two events were similar: one or two loss of load events over a two or three-day period. However, the dramatic discrepancies in the LOLH and EUE measures show how reliance on the LOLE metric alone could skew the characterization of an event and have serious implications for decision-making. Accordingly, The RAWG recommends this type of multiple metric analyzes using risk profiles be done for NYSRC evaluations of extreme weather events and other applications.

Table 2
Illustration of Using a Risk Profile to Get a Good Picture of Recent Loss of Load Events⁴

LOL Event Characteristic	Metric	California Aug 2020	Texas Feb 2021
Number of Events	LOLE	2 events	1 event
Number of Days	LOLE	2 days	3 days
Number of Hours	LOLH	6 hours	71 hours
Unserved Energy	EUE	2,700 MWh	990,000 MWh
Max Shortfall	-	1,072 MW	20,000+ MW

Risk profiles similar to the above should be prepared for major loss of load events that may impact NYCA and other systems in the future.

4. Extreme Weather Event Applications

We have previously pointed out that use of multiple metrics will be valuable for examining extreme weather impacts in resource adequacy assessments. The NYISO assessed one type of extreme weather event, an *extended wind lull*, in its 2021-30 Comprehensive Reliability Plan (CRP). The NYISO's wind lull study examined 12 extended wind lull event scenarios which considered loss of all off-shore or land-based wind facilities for one full week due to wind lull events.⁵ These wind lull scenarios were simulated using GE-MARS which included the calculation of all three metrics.

⁴ Based on a paper submitted to the 2021 NERC Probabilistic Analysis Forum, *New Metrics for Resource Adequacy*, by Derek Stenclik of Telos Energy.

⁵ See NYISO 2021-30 CRP, Appendix E, *70X30 Scenario - Extended Wind Lull* for details of the NYISO's wind lull study, including study scope, assumptions, and results.

In the tables below we have chosen one of the NYISO's wind lull scenarios from the 2021-30 CRP to illustrate the application of multiple metrics for extreme weather assessments. This scenario assumes loss of all off-shore wind facilities -- with an assumed nameplate capacity of 6,098 MW in Zones J and K -- for a one full week during the week with the highest off shore wind capacity. The model for this scenario further assumed 70x30 base load at criterion.⁶ Table 3A provides details of the NYISO staff's study results taken from NYISO 2021-30 CRP's Figure 76.

Table 3A

Metric Data for Extreme Weather Scenario:

Loss of 100% of Off Shore Wind Capacity Due to an Extended Wind Lull

LOL Event Characteristic	Base Case (No Wind Lull LOL events)	Wind Lull Case (All LOL events)	Wind Lull Case (Wind Lull LOL Events Only)
LOLE (days/yr.)	0.10	0.26	0.16
LOLH (hr/yr)	0.291	0.849	0.558
			(0.349 per LOL event)
EUE (MWhr)	85.7	289.9	204.2
			(127.6 per LOL event)
Max OSW capacity available during the week designated for lull event	5,602 MW	0 MW	0 MW

From the data in Table 3A, we have prepared loss of load risk profiles for the extended wind lull scenario which are shown in Table 3B.

Table 3B

Loss of Load Event Risk Profiles for Extreme Weather Scenario:
Loss of 100% of Off Shore Wind Capacity Due to an Extended Wind Lull

Loss of Load Event Characteristic	Metric	Base Case (Non- Wind Lull Event)	Wind Lull Event
Event Frequency	LOLE	1 event /10 yr.	1.6 events/10 yr.
Number of hours per event	LOLH	2.9 hours	35 hours
Unserved energy per event	EUE	857 MWhr	1,276 MWhr
Average shortfall per event		296 MW	365 MW
Customer cost from wind lull event			\$ 64 million

By examining the hours duration, unserved energy, and average shortfall per loss of load event in Table 3B, it is observed that, besides having a significant cost impact, loss of load events caused by wind lulls result in a longer duration, more unserved energy, and greater shortfall then non-wind lull loss of load events. This type of information is an example of the benefit of quantifying the size, frequency, and duration of loss of load events when examining the reliability impacts of

5

⁶ The modeling assumptions for the wind lull scenario selected for this report are described in the 2021-30 CRP. See Footnote 5.

extreme weather events. Table 3B also shows customer cost impacts, assuming a cost of unserved energy of \$50 per KWhr.⁷

Because this wind Iull scenario's 0.26 days/yr. LOLE violates NYSRC's LOLE criterion, the NYISO also calculated compensatory capacity requirements, i.e., the "perfect capacity" needed to reduce the LOLE to 0.1 days/yr. The compensatory capacity needed in this case was determined to be 350 MW in Zone J.⁸ Because compensatory capacity has the effect of reducing LOLE over the entire year, not just during a wind Iull period, its capacity value is significantly less than the wind capacity lost during the week of the wind Iull. Also, it should be recognized that in this case, by adding 350 MW of compensatory capacity to mitigate the reliability impact of a wind Iull, i.e., for meeting the NYSRC resource adequacy criterion, will not eliminate wind Iull events entirely, just reduce their reliability impact sufficiently to meet the NYSRC LOLE criterion.

5. Future Metric Applications

Table 4 illustrates the types of NYSRC and NYISO applications that will benefit by applying multiple metrics. As discussed, use of metrics will provide more insights into frequency, size, and durations of shortfall events for providing better resource decisions for these applications.

Table 4
Examples of Future NYPA Multiple Metric Applications

Applications	Process	Entity
Sensitivity Studies	IRM Study	ICS
Parametric Studies	IRM Study	ICS
Renewable resource studies	Special Study	NYISO, ICS
Battery storage analyzes	Special Study	NYISO
Extreme weather event studies	Special Study	NYISO
Resource adequacy assessments	Reliability Needs Analysis (RNA) and Comprehensive Reliability Plan (CRP)	NYISO

6. The LOLE Reliability Metric and its Application as NYSRC's Resource Adequacy Criterion

LOLE has served well as the metric for NYCA's "0.1 days per year" resource adequacy criterion for multiple decades. The vast majority of North American systems also to use the 0.1 day per

6

⁷ Multiple studies have developed estimates of the value of unserved energy to customers. The EPRI white paper, "Extreme Events, Natural Gas Fuel and Other Contingencies on Resource Adequacy" provides one such survey which shows the value of unserved energy for different classes of customers. We have weighed various values and arrived at a cost of unserved energy of \$50 per kWhr for the purpose of this analysis

⁸ See 2021-30 CRP Figure 71.

year LOLE criterion. Reliance on this metric, which measures loss of load frequency, has been appropriate for past resource adequacy and IRM studies because shortfalls have shared similar characteristics, largely occurring during peak load events and caused by randomly occurring forced outages of mainly conventional fossil and nuclear generation.

As the NYCA increasingly relies on variable renewable energy and energy limited resources, the other metrics, LOLH and EUE, provide additional reliability information as they capture loss of load duration and total quantity of energy that is expected to go unserved. However, the LOLH and EUE metrics are only single metrics that provide, just as LOLE, only one reliability risk measure. An advantage of the application of the LOLE metric for the NYSRC resource adequacy criterion is that it is consistent with its use as the NPCC resource adequacy criterion and that of NYCA's interconnected systems. Also, as stated above, it is currently the "North American Standard". For these reasons, the RAWG agrees that the NYSRC should continue to utilize the LOLE metric for NYSRC's resource adequacy criterion, supplemented by measures of system risk from the EUE and LOLH metrics as discussed in Section 2.

7. Recommendations

The RAWG has the following recommendations:

- 1. The NYSRC and NYISO should calculate LOLE, LOLH, and EUE metrics in IRM and resource adequacy studies so as to provide multiple measures of system risk. Accordingly, NYSRC should establish a new Reliability Rule requiring NYSRC and NYISO use of all three metrics, LOLE, LOLH, and EUE; that they be calculated and included in all IRM and ICS studies and resource adequacy assessments (RNA and CRP) as listed in Table 4. Accordingly, NYSRC Policy 5 should be modified to incorporate all three metrics in IRM studies.
- 2. The LOLE 0.1 days/year criterion should continue to serve as the NYSRC's resource adequacy criterion.
- 3. The NYSRC should form a permanent NYSRC working group, "Resource Adequacy Advisory Working Group (RAAWG)." The NYCA generation mix is transforming as intermittent resources increase and extreme weather events become more prevalent. This will increase the need, importance, and types of resource adequacy assessments and use of metrics for measuring reliability. The RAAWG would advise the Executive Committee, RRS, and ICS on resource adequacy matters, and monitor NYISO resource adequacy assessments and make

⁹ For details of this survey, see the RAWG's first report, *Resource Adequacy Metrics and Their Applications*, dated April 20, 2020

recommendations when appropriate. A RAAWG work scope should be incorporated into the Annual NYSRC Goals.