Final Model Values for 2021 IRM Studies & SCR Performance Demand Response: Analysis

Vijay Ganugula

Manager, Distributed Resources Operations

NYSRC - Installed Capacity Subcommittee

August 17, 2020

New York ISO

Agenda

- Background
- Final SCR model values for 2021 IRM studies
- Appendix
- Description of ICS adjustment factors

Background

Background

- NYISO calculates SCR zonal performance factors for IRM studies based on historical SCR performance. The data set includes:
- All event hours, by zone, for each mandatory event from the most recent five years in which a mandatory event was initiated by the NYISO (but not older than summer 2012)
- All performance test hours accumulated during the above timeframe even when there were no mandatory events
- 2021 IRM study data set includes all event hours from mandatory events and performance tests from Summer 2012 through Summer 2019
- ICS applies additional adjustment factors (see Appendix for details)
- Translation Factor
- Fatigue Factor

Effective Performance Factor = Zonal Performance Factor * Translation Factor * Fatigue Factor

SCR Model Value MW = SCR ICAP MW * Effective Performance Factor



Final SCR Model Values

Inputs for 2021 IRM Studies

- Additional inputs since 2020 IRM studies
- Winter 2018-2019 and Summer 2019 SCR performance test hours
 - total of 2 hours

The data set consists of

- All event hours, by zone, from mandatory events from summer 2012 through summer 2019
- Range from 20 event hours for Zone A to 64 event hours for Zone J
- All performance test hours from summer 2012 through summer 2019
- 15 performance test hours



| | | FOR 2021 | IRM - | Final SC | IRM - Final SCR Model Values | Values | |
|---------|-------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | | ICS Adjustment Factors | ent Factors | | SCR ICAP MW | |
| | | Superzone | | | Effective | based on July 2020 | |
| | Super | Performance | ACL to CBL | Fatigue | Performance | Enrollment | Final Model |
| Program | Zone | Factor | Factor | Factor | Factor | Data | Values MW |
| SCR | A-F | 86.2% | 94.9% | 100% | 81.8% | 622.8 | 509.5 |
| SCR | I-9 | 74.7% | 85.1% | 100% | %9.E9 | 102.0 | 64.9 |
| SCR | ſ | %8'69 | 75.2% | 100% | 52.1% | 427.3 | 222.7 |
| SCR | Х | %9'02 | 82.1% | 100% | 58.0% | 43.0 | 24.9 |
| | | | Total | | | 1195.1 | 822.0 |
| | | | | | | | 68.8% |

Comparison of 2021 with 2020 SCR Values

| 요 | R 20 | 21 IRM - | FOR 2021 IRM - Final SCR Mod Values | lodel | 2020 I | 2020 IRM - Final SCR Model Values | al SCR ies | Comp | Comparison of 2021 with 2020 IRM | f 2021 3M |
|---------|-------|----------|--|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Super | | 0 | _ | Effective Performance | | Final Model | Effective Performance | July 2020 vs | Model Value |
| Program | Zone | Factor | Enrollment Data | Value MW | Factor | July 2019 MW | Value MW | Factor | July 2019 MW | MW |
| SCR | A-F | 81.8% | 622.8 | 509.5 | 81.7% | 629.3 | 514.2 | 0.1% | -6.5 | -4.7 |
| SCR | G-I | 63.6% | 102.0 | 64.9 | 64.3% | 125.5 | 80.7 | -0.7% | -23.5 | -15.8 |
| SCR | J | 52.1% | 427.3 | 222.7 | 52.0% | 478.9 | 249.0 | 0.1% | -51.6 | -26.3 |
| SCR | K | 28.0% | 43.0 | 24.9 | 59.1% | 48.2 | 28.5 | -1.1% | -5.2 | -3.5 |
| | Tota | al | 1195.1 | 822.0 | | 1281.9 | 872.4 | | -86.8 | -50.4 |
| | | | | %8'89 | | | 68.1% | | | 0.7% |

- No significant change in Effective Performance Factor since 2020 IRM
- Decrease in Model Value MW is driven by a decline in the July 2020 SCR Enrollments



Appendix

SCR Baselines

Average Coincident Load (ACL):

- Capacity Baseline for resources participating in the SCR program
- Required for all resources participating in the SCR Program
- Used for Capacity Market participation

Customer Baseline Load (CBL):

- Energy Baseline for resources participating the SCR programs
- Optional submission following a NYISO Test or Event
- Used for Energy Payments



SCR Adjustment Factors used in IRM Studies

Translation Factor (ACL to CBL)

- The Translation Factor is used to adjust performance based on ACL baseline to a CBL equivalent
- Transition from fixed to calculated Translation Factor established during 9/5/2018 ICS Meeting
- Calculated value from same data set used for Zonal Performance Factors
- Only uses responses from resources reporting their CBL

Fatigue Factor

- The Fatigue Factor is applied to address concerns that fatigue may occur if SCRs are deployed frequently
- Current value of Fatigue Factor is 1.00



| ္ပ | mpar | Comparison - 2021 vs 2020 | 021 vs 2 | 020 |
|---------|-------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| ACL | to CE | ACL to CBL Translation Factor | lation F | actor |
| Program | Zone | 2021 | 2020 | Difference |
| SCR | A-F | 94.9% | 94.1% | 0.8% |
| SCR | l-9 | 85.1% | 85.1% | %0:0 |
| SCR | ſ | 75.2% | 75.3% | -0.1% |
| SCR | Х | 82.1% | 82.3% | -0.2% |

collaboration with its stakeholders, is to serve the public interest and The Mission of the New York Independent System Operator, in provide benefits to consumers by:

- Maintaining and enhancing regional reliability
- Operating open, fair and competitive wholesale electricity markets
- Planning the power system for the future
- Providing factual information to policy makers, stakeholders and investors in the power system



www.nyiso.com

