# **Draft Minutes**

# New York State Reliability Council - Installed Capacity Subcommittee (ICS)

# Meeting #218 – April 3, 2019

# **NYISO Corporate Center, Rensselaer NY**

## **Attendees**

	Present	Phone
Members / Alternates:		
Rich Wright (CHG&E)		
Ruby Chan (CHG&E)		
Akim Faisal (CHG&E) ICS Vice Chair/Secretary		
Dmitriy Kiselev (Con Edison)		
Howard Kosel (Con Edison) ICS Chair		
Sanderson Chery (Con Edison)		
Khatune Zannat (PSEG LI)		
Mariann Wilczek (PSEG LI)		
Richard Brophy (NYSEG-RGE)		
John Cordi (NYPA)		
Mark Younger (Hudson Energy Economics, LLC – Wholesale Sellers)		
Chris Wentlet (Municipals & Electric Coops)		
Mike Mager (Couch White – Large Consumers)		
Clay Burns (National Grid)		
Advisers/Non-member Participants:		
Al Adamson (Consultant)		
John Adams (Consultant)		
Ricardo Galarza (PSM Consulting)		
Scott Leuthauser (HQUS)		
Akm Saijadul Islam (NYDPS)		
Alan Ackerman (CES)		
Wes Yeomans (NYISO)		
Greg Drake (NYISO)		
Kai liang (NYISO)	$\boxtimes$	

	Josh Boles (NYISO)	
	Frank Ciani (NYISO)	
	Ryan Carlson (NYISO)	
	David Allen (NYISO)	
	Nate Gilbraith (NYISO)	
	Steve Lemme (NYISO)	
	Ken Galarneau (Direct Energy)	
	Richard Quimby (NYDPS)	
	Chris Hall (NYSERDA)	
	Matthieu Plante (HQUS)	
	Brian Shanahan (National Grid)	
	Adam Evans (DPS)	
New Pa	articipants:	
	Jim D'Andrea (LS Power)	
	Yuri Fishman (PSEG-LI)	
	Zach Smith (NYISO)	

## 1. Introduction

Chair Howard Kosel called the NYSRC Installed Capacity Subcommittee meeting to order at 10:00 A.M. on April 3, 2019.

#### 2. Approval of Minutes for Meeting #217

• The meeting minutes were approved as revised.

## 3. Review Action Item List:

Few members recommended the action items list have a completion date where applicable.

#### 4. 2020-21 IRM Study

# 4.1. 2020-21 IRM Study Task Updates

# **4.1.1.** Energy Storage Modeling – Update on NYISO Approach (R. Carlson)

- Ryan Carlson went over Energy Duration Limited Resource Modeling Whitepaper.
- ➤ 8 hour resources for LI were modeled from 12 PM 8 PM in discharge mode and 10 PM 6 AM in charge mode.
- Models tested: 10, 20, 50, and 100 MW.
- Results were identical when comparing eight hour storage resource to perfect capacity.
- NYISO recommends modeling two 5 MW units of duration limited resources in the 2020 IRM study using the charge and discharge shapes discussed in this whitepaper.

- No IRM impact analysis was covered in this whitepaper. Nate Gilbraith mentioned the ΔLOLE = 0.002 relative to the base case, which would result in a minor impact on the IRM. Al Adamson stated that the IRM impact should be stated (even if the impact is minimal).
- NYISO is soliciting comments on this whitepaper.
- EC will vote to approve on this next month (May 2019).

#### **4.1.2. External Area Model – Draft White Paper** (J. Adams, G. Drake)

- A first draft of the white paper was presented by J. Adams and G. Drake.
- Page 12: Case 4 Validation of preliminary Results.
- Case 4 Validation will be completed by next meeting.

#### **4.1.3. HQ Cedars Upgrade – Preliminary Results** (F. Ciani)

- NYISO provided an update but no preliminary results to discuss
- NYISO working on import rights
- A presentation will be given next week.

## **4.1.4.** Load Shape Selection – Schedule (J. Boles)

- Load Duration Curve Presentation by Arthur Maniaci
  - o The presentation showed the LDC characteristics from 2002 to 2018.
  - o 2007 was chosen as a representative of typical years
  - 2002 most representative of a year with many higher load days. (2018 is similar to 2002).
  - o 2007, 2010, 2012 were representative of average load.
  - Based on the presentation, there's no compelling reason to update the load shape.
- Evaluating load shapes in the IRM study Nate Gilbraith
  - NYISO will continue to give detailed review of existing load shapes to ICS from April 2019 – Sep 2019
  - Summary of neighboring control areas' approaches to load forecasting presentation by NYISO to ICS by August 2019.
  - Summary of other NYISO processes that utilize load shapes presentation by NYISO to ICS by September 2019.
  - NYISO is looking for direction from ICS in evaluating load shapes in IRM study (ICS mentioned incorporating behind the meter resources).
  - For the 2021 IRM, NYISO to identify potential load shape methodology updates by 2020.

#### **4.1.5. Exports to N.E. – Update** (N. Gilbraith)

- Objective of this presentation was to update ICS on sales from NY to NE from May 2018 to March 2019
- Forward Capacity Auction (FCA) results from NY to NE varied by month between 744.8 MW and 1,044.8 MW.
- ➤ No capacity sales from NYISO locality to ISO-NE occurred from June 2018 to March 2019.

#### **4.1.6. General Public Appeals** (A. Adamson)

- Khatune Z. presented on PSEG-LI Public Appeals Analysis
- NYISO Emergency Operating Procedures, PSEGLI analysis showed that load in excess of 80 MW can be shed in a public appeal event.
- PSEG-LI looked at the commercial and residential sectors.
- ➤ Government entities were the primary commercial market entities for expected demand reduction of 93.5 MW.
- ➤ A residential demand reduction of 13.5 MW obtained during public appeal event.

- ➤ The analysis showed a 107 MW total reduction can be achieved. A more conservative approach would be to maintain the current 80 MW reduction for the 2020/2021 capability.
- NYISO does not quantify or verify the MW reduction obtained through public appeals.
- 4.2. Assumptions Matrix Update (G. Drake): No update at this time

# 4.2.1. Topology – Preliminary Review

- Changes to 2019 IRM topology for 2020 IRM Study (kai Jiang)
- > This has been accepted by TPAS
- > 2019 IRM topology was presented
- 2020 topology updates:
  - <u>Update 1:</u> UPNY-SENY changes included Cricket Valley, Leeds-Hurley SDU.
  - Update 2: Jamaica Ties (J to K) includes addition of Rainey-Corona 345/115 kV PAR.
  - Update 3: Retirement of Indian Point #2 unit. UPNY-Con Ed interface affected. 2018 RNA study with retiring both IP units: increase 500 MW
  - NYISO will evaluate impact of these topology changes in 2020 IRM study.

# 5. Policy 5 Addition: "Procedure for Treatment of Information Received after EC IRM Approval" (A. Adamson)

- > Discussion of EC procedure.
- ➤ The EC will review NYISO preliminary analysis and consider impacts of any changes to IRM base case results or final IRM if a Final IRM has been approved by EC.A potential change in base case IRM of 0.2% or less as a result of material change will not warrant further considerations.
- 6. Additional Agenda Items

## **Other Discussions:**

Prepared by: Akim Faisal, Central Hudson (AFaisal@cenhud.com)

Upcoming meetings:

Meeting 219, Tuesday, May 1, 2019 at NYISO Corporate Center